Integrated Waterbird Management and Monitoring Approach for Nonbreeding Waterbirds

Monitoring Manual Version 10.1: July 2021



Northern Pintails and Northern Shovelers. Photo Credit: FWS

Contents

INTRODUCTION	3
SOP 1: Delineating Unit Boundaries	8
SOP 2: Waterbird and Unit Condition Survey	11
SM 3: Waterbird Survey Form Single Unit (1 side)	22
SM 4: Waterbird Survey Form Multiple Units (2 sides)	23
SOP 5: Vegetation Survey	25
SM 5: Annual Vegetation Survey Form	37
SM 7: Wetland Management Record	46
SOP 7: Data Entry and Management Instructions	48
SM 1: AOU Species Codes in Family Order	54
SM 2: AOU Species Codes in Alphabetical Order	58
SM 8: Health and Safety Guidance for Handling Sick or Dead Wild Birds	85

INTRODUCTION

This manual focuses on survey field methods from a national protocol framework:

Loges BW, Tavernia BG, Wilson AM, Hagy H.M., Stanton JD, Herner-Thogmartin JH, Jones T, Wires, L. 2021. National protocol framework for the inventory and monitoring of nonbreeding waterbirds and their habitats. V2.1. Natural Resources Program Center, Fort Collins, CO.

The focus is a multi-species group of waterbirds during winter and migration that are readily detected visually. Cryptic and secretive waterbirds, mainly rails and other marshbirds (Conway 2011), may be recorded when encountered but are not part of this framework's focus. The program

includes a monitoring component included herein that assesses management actions, habitat conditions, and waterbird use. The framework contains additional information for setting survey objectives and should be consulted when developing site-specific or regional protocols that employ these methods. The procedures involve visual assessments of whole-wetland unit habitat conditions and counts of waterbirds conducted from the perimeter of the wetland unit. Survey techniques can be incorporated into a wide variety of waterbird survey needs at multiple scales (Vanausdall & Dinsmore 2019, Lishawa et al. 2020, Aagaard et al. 2017, Tapp et al. 2018). Data entry, archival, and multi-scale analysis are handled through an online database that is part of the <u>Avian Knowledge Network</u>.

Why Monitor Waterbirds and their Habitats?

We anticipate that setting and obtaining local management objectives will require knowledge about waterbird use,, the ability to assess the efficacy of management actions (e.g. accounting for management costs in terms of use-days or supported populations), and / or the ability to learn how to improve management (Lyons et al. 2008). Also, depending on the management objective, the survey activity will often entail assessing status and trends of habitat conditions or waterbird numbers. Resulting data may be used to calculate wetland unit-specific waterbird use-days, document migration chronologies, and explore relationships between waterbird counts, management actions and habitat condition. The Integrated Waterbird Management and Monitoring (IWMM) program was initiated following a series of structured decision-making (SDM) workshops that established a need for an operational framework for management and monitoring of waterfowl, shorebirds, and wading birds, collectively referred to as waterbirds, at the local, regional and flyway spatial scales (Coppen et al. 2007, Laskowski et al. 2008, Lor et al. 2008).



Survey Units

A survey unit is a single managed or unmanaged wetland unit. Boundaries of the unit should be fixed throughout the season and across years to ensure data comparability. See <u>Standard Operating</u> <u>Procedure (SOP 1)</u>.

Projects are defined as a collection of survey units that are administered as a single unit (e.g., a single NWR) Projects and survey unit codes will be assigned by IWMM staff to ensure that they do not duplicate use by other cooperators. Please contact the Project Coordinator for assistance in assigning codes. If you do not know the codes, please leave them blank, but make sure that you fill in name details so that the codes can be completed subsequently. Please refer to SOPs 2 and 3 for additional information regarding pre- survey logistics and preparation including equipment needed for waterbird and vegetation surveys.

Survey timing and schedule

Waterbird and unit condition surveys are completed weekly or biweekly during the non-breeding waterbird season. The exact timing and length of the survey season depends on the period of interest as identified in site-specific survey objectives but a minimum of 5 surveys in sequence is required for the database to produce migration curves. See SOP 2.



Figure 1. Generalized annual schedule for the Midwest for implementing waterfowl surveys, vegetation surveys, data entry, and reporting. Flexibility in assigning start and end dates for key tasks has been retained to facilitate customization of site-specific protocols.

A practical approach for selecting survey dates during the non-breeding season is to systematically conduct Waterbird and Unit Condition Surveys on a weekly or biweekly basis. Subjective selection of survey dates should be avoided because it can introduce bias into migration curves and bird use-day estimates.

Vegetation surveys are completed once late in the growing season. See SOP 5.

Recording Management Actions: in addition to monitoring waterbird use and habitat response, routine short-term habitat management activities from the start of the current year's growing season to the start of the next year's growing season will be tracked for each management unit. See SOP 6.

Data Entry

Cooperators should enter collected data into the <u>IWMM's centralized</u>, <u>online database</u> after each survey and be aware of any data entry deadlines announced by IWMM staff. IWMM's database is a node of the Avian Knowledge Network (AKN), and compiles bird survey, vegetation survey, and management action data. The database can also be used for managing surveys and collaboration with others. The database is available to the public. Anyone can use the AKN including staff from refuges, national parks and forests, states and other cooperators that are conducting waterbird and vegetation surveys using the approach described in the protocol framework. For information about enrolling projects in the database, please see the protocols and data management section of IWMM's webpage: (<u>http://iwmmprogram.org/protocols-data-forms/</u>) or contact the Project Coordinator. More specific instructions for entering data into this database can be found in <u>SOP 7</u>.

Qualifications

All surveys need to be conducted by qualified individuals. Surveyors should be able to:

- Identify waterbird species
- Identify common wetland plant species
- Estimate numbers of waterbirds using advocated techniques
- Follow survey protocols

Dead birds

If carcasses of waterbirds are found, follow the guidelines provided in <u>SM 8</u>.

Training

Cooperators should visit the IWMM website at: <u>http://iwmmprogram.org/</u> for a recorded webinar that will introduce IWMM and introduce the waterbird survey, vegetation survey, and management actions tracking. Inexperienced waterbird counters are advised to practice their counting and estimation techniques before participating in IWMM.

In-person protocol training can be scheduled on an as-needed basis. Contact the individuals listed below for more information on arranging in-person protocol training

For More Information:

- National & Midwest- Brian Loges, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Zone Biologist. <u>Brian Loges@fws.gov</u>
- National, Southeast & Northeast- John Stanton, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, North Carolina Migratory Bird Field Office, John Stanton@fws.gov
- Southeast Heath Hagy U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Waterfowl Ecologist USFWS, National Wildlife Refuge System. <u>Heath Hagy@fws.gov</u>
- SouthWest Bill Johnson U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, NWRS-Division of Biological Services <u>Bill_Johnson@fws.gov</u> and Paige Schmidt U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Zone Biologist <u>Paige_Schmidt@fws.gov</u>
- Pacific TBD
- Mountain Prairie Region Mick Hanan U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Lake Andes NWR Complex <u>Mick Hanan@fws.gov</u>

References:

Aagaard, K, JE Lyons, and WE Thogmartin. 2017. Quantifying the relative contribution of an ecological reserve to conservation objectives. Global Ecology and Conservation 9, 142-147 ,<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2017.01.002</u>.

Conway CJ. 2011. Standardized North American marsh bird monitoring protocol. Waterbirds 34: 319-346.

Coppen JL, Heglund PJ, Delehanty, Fox ST, Johnson R, Jones MT, Kenow K, Lonsdorf E, Thogmartin WE. 2007. Waterfowl migration case study from the structured decision making workshop, 25—29 March 2007, Upper Mississippi River Environmental Science Center, La Crosse, Wisconsin.

Laskowski H, Stanton J, Lonsdorf E, Lyons J, Brown S, Coppen J, Durbian F, Jones T, Leger T, Milliken A, Seamans M, Brewer DC, Runge MC. 2008. Application of structured decision making to access multiple scale monitoring needs for waterbird management. A case study from the structured decision making workshop, January 28—February 1, 2008, National Conservation Training Center, Shepherdstown, West Virginia.

Lishawa, S, Dunton, EM, Pearsall, DR, Monks, AM, Himmler, KB, Carson, BD, Loges, B and Albert, DA 2020. Wetland Waterbird Food Resources Increased by Harvesting Invasive Cattails. Jour. Wild. Mgmt., 84: 1326-1337. doi:10.1002/jwmg.21912

Lor S, Casey J, Lonsdorf E, Seamans M, Anderson M, Chambers C, Chmielewski A, Granfors D, Hinds L, Holcomb K, Brewer DC, Runge MC. 2008. Habitat management for multiple wetland bird objectives on national wildlife refuges. A case study from the structured decision making workshop, 21—25 July 2008, National Conservation Training Center, Shepherdstown, West Virginia.

Lyons JE, Runge MC, Laskowski HP, Kendall WL. 2008. Monitoring in the context of structured decisionmaking and adaptive management. Journal of Wildlife Management 72:1683–1692.

Tapp, J.L., Weegman, M.M., Webb, E.B., Kaminski, R.M. and Davis, J.B. (2018), Waterbird communities and seed biomass in managed and reference-restored wetlands in the Mississippi Alluvial Valley. Restor Ecol, 26: 591-599. doi:10.1111/rec.12598

Vanausdall RA, Dinsmore SJ. 2019. Habitat Associations of Migratory Waterbirds Using Restored Shallow Lakes in Iowa. Waterbirds 42, 135-153.

SOP 1: Delineating Unit Boundaries

Before conducting waterbird and vegetation surveys, follow these instructions to delineate the boundaries of each unit surveyed. Once boundaries are established for a unit those boundaries should remain the same throughout the season and year to year.

Equipment

- GPS
- Printed aerial images
- GIS & digital imagery

Observers should define survey unit boundaries to accommodate whole-area waterbird counts and vegetation surveys. On managed lands, wetlands are often divided into management units. Wherever possible, existing management units will be used as survey units. A management unit is defined as a fixed area where recurring waterbird management actions are applied. Management actions may vary in type and frequency. Cooperators have the discretion to survey units ranging from intensively managed moist-soil systems to protected natural wetlands with no habitat manipulation.

It is expected that the observer will be able to visually assess \geq 70% of the survey/management unit (Figure SOP-1.1). If an observer cannot visually assess \geq 70% of a unit's area, additional vantage points should be added in lieu of splitting the management unit into multiple survey units. This criterion applies to the surface area of a unit not to the visibility of birds within a unit. While multiple observation points can be established around the perimeter of the unit to meet this criterion, observers should bear in mind the need to complete the count on the unit within a single morning and to minimize multiple counting of individual birds. Note that the boundaries of the unit should be fixed through the season and across years to ensure data comparability. Please see Figure SOP-1.2 for flow chart that will help guide decisions regarding survey units. Note: for units with less than 70% visibility, data collected at these units can still be managed in the IWMM database, but these units may be excluded from larger scale analyses by IWMM.



Figure SOP-1.1. Percentage of survey unit within a whole-area count. In this case, 70% of the unit falls within the whole-area count.





Full pool (spillway elevation) levels in managed impoundments or seasonal high water marks in areas with uncontrolled water levels can be used to delineate unit boundaries. Units may include areas above these high-water marks. Observers may use remote sensing resources to identify the boundaries of the wetland basin or GPS permanent topographic or other physical features in the field to define the management unit's extent. GPS accuracies meeting or exceeding 3–16 feet (1–5 meters) are acceptable (USFWS 2012):

Geospatial files with identified accuracy, projections, and coordinate systems (ArcGIS shape files or KML files digitized from Google Earth) can be submitted through the on-line database. Survey Units can also be digitized over imagery using the database's "Digitize Location" tool. To facilitate inter-year comparisons of observations, survey unit boundaries should not be altered. Observers should create and maintain printed maps and geospatial layers as aids in maintaining consistent boundaries.

References

[USFWS] U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2012. Data Delivery Standards and Specifications Template. USFWS, Pacific Southwest Region. Sacramento, California.

SOP 2: Waterbird and Unit Condition Survey

Follow these instructions for preparing and conducting waterbird counts and assessing site conditions for each unit at time of survey. Associated data collection sheets can be found in Supplemental Materials 3 and 4.

<u>Note</u>: Bird Surveys are required to include data for measurements highlighted **in bold** on the following list for the survey to be entered in the IWMM database. Measurements not highlighted in bold are optional. Surveys with missing data for one or more required metrics cannot be saved in the IWMM online database. All other measurements are considered optional and/or site-specific.

Measurements

- Counts of waterbirds by species
- Visibility (%)
- Wind speed (mph class)
- Air temperature
- Tide position (class)
- Salinity (ppt)
- Gauge level
- Water depth (cm class)
- Ice (% cover)
- Habitat Cover (% cover)
- Interspersion (class)
- Disturbance severity (class)
- Disturbance source (class)
- Chronic human disturbance (class)

Equipment

- Good optical equipment, including a spotting scope or binoculars
- Thermometer (°F optional)
- Refractometer or hydrometer (optional)
- Map of the project and unit boundaries
- AOU species code sheet (Supplemental Materials 1: alphabetical order or Supplemental Materials 2: taxonomic order)
- Waterbird Survey Form (Supplemental Materials 3: Single unit and Supplemental Materials 4: multiple units) or tablet with IWMM app.

Survey Schedule

Waterbird surveys should ideally be conducted at least once per week throughout the season of interest for waterfowl and shorebirds (see Element 2: Survey timing and schedule). Weekly counts have greater statistical power than those conducted on a biweekly schedule and a larger sample size reduces variability, uncertainty and risk of missing "peaks" numbers (B. Tavernia, USGS, personal communication). Therefore, weekly counts are preferred, but biweekly counts are also acceptable if staff time is constrained. Species or guilds with rapid migration periods and short stopover duration (e.g., shorebirds) may require greater sampling frequencies to generate reasonable migration curves (e.g., 2-3 surveys/week). The exact timing and length of the survey season depends on site-specific survey objectives but a minimum of 5 surveys in sequence is required for the database to produce migrations curves.

It is best to designate a particular day of the week for the surveys so that they are spaced as evenly as possible in time. In coastal areas, surveys should be conducted within two hours of high tide to control for the effect of tidal stage on nearby mudflats. At inland sites, the time of a 24-hour period for conducting surveys should be based on the management objective. For example, if a manager is interested in supporting roosting activities, the counts should occur during a period when birds are most likely to be roosting at a site. Flexibility in the timing of surveys is needed to address constraints such as staffing, other activities taking place within units (e.g. hunting or management), and weather.

If multiple units are surveyed, it is good practice to change the order of surveys by choosing different starting units on each visit (wherever possible). If counts are expected to be compiled across units in a single set of surveys, counts for all units should be completed in one day to minimize double-counting birds. If birds regularly flush from units during counts, then efforts should be made to minimize the multiple-counting of birds. If birds are observed moving from one unit to another, include waterbirds in the estimate for only the first unit in which they were encountered. Waterbirds observed outside the unit boundaries during flood events, as flyovers, or on adjacent dry land should not be included in survey unit observations.

There is no time limit for surveys, although ideally all units within a project should be surveyed on the same day. For aerial counts, unit condition information should be collected on the same day the waterbird count is conducted. If this is not possible, the survey date recorded should be the date the waterbird count was conducted. The date the unit conditions were collected should be included in the notes section of the database. Participants collecting unit conditions data on a different day than the waterbird count should evaluate the potential for the unit conditions to have changed significantly. If unit conditions have changed, the survey event should be censored.

<u>NOTE</u>: During waterfowl hunting season in some areas, it may be important to avoid conflict with hunting interests. Conflict can be minimized when surveying units open to hunting by surveying from accessible points around the perimeter and by avoiding surveys during periods of high hunting activity.

Site and unit codes

Please contact the Project Coordinator for assistance on assigning codes. Project names and survey unit codes must be assigned by IWMM staff to ensure that they do not duplicate codes in use by other cooperators. If you do not know these codes, please leave them blank, but make sure that you

provide enough detail (e.g., name of observer, location of surveys) so that the codes can be completed subsequently.

Percent Visibility

To conduct whole-area counts, \geq 70% of the survey unit must be visible from one or multiple vantage points placed around the unit's perimeter. If an impoundment or area with natural boundaries typically considered a "unit" for management by local staff is not 70% visible, the IWMM survey unit may not include the entire management unit. Estimate the percentage of the survey unit assessed for the whole-area count (Figure SOP-2.1).



Figure SOP-2.1. Percentage of survey unit within whole-area count. In this case, 70% of the unit falls within the whole-area count.

Appropriate Weather

Surveys during inclement weather should be avoided. Whenever possible, do not survey waterbirds in fog, rain, or strong winds (Beaufort force ≥ 4). Estimate average wind speed (Beaufort scale) at the start of the survey.

MPH	Beaufort	Description	Appearance of wind effects
<1	0	Calm	Calm, smoke rises vertically
1-3	1	Light Air	Smoke drift indicates wind direction, still wind vanes
4-7	2	Light Breeze	Wind felt on face, leaves rustle, vanes begin to move
8-12	3	Gentle Breeze	Leaves and small twigs constantly moving, light flags extended
13-18	4	Moderate Breeze	Raises dust and loose paper; small branches are moved
19-24	5	Fresh Breeze	Small trees in leaf begin to sway
25-31	6	Strong Breeze	Large branches in motion; umbrellas used with difficulty

Table SOP-2.1. The Beaufort Wind Scale

Local Tide Conditions (optional)

Please classify local tide conditions, if applicable, into one of the categories found in Table SOP-2.2 (from International Shorebird Survey protocol; <u>http://ebird.org/content/iss/</u>).

|--|

Class	Description
1	High
2	Almost high and rising
3	Almost high and falling
4	Half tide, rising
5	Half tide, falling
6	Almost low, rising
7	Almost low, falling
8	Low
9	Not observed, not applicable, or observations made during more than one of these periods

Salinity (optional)

If your unit is exposed to saltwater, then measure salinity using a either a hydrometer or a refractometer (SOP 3); salinity should be reported in parts per thousand (PPT).

Salinity may vary throughout your unit, so careful consideration needs to be given to the number and distribution of salinity samples taken. No single sampling approach will apply universally, but the following considerations are offered as guides:

- Seek background on your unit, looking for information specific to factors that may cause salinity to vary (e.g., location of freshwater inlets)
- Ensure that selected sampling locations can be safely and legally accessed
- Select sampling locations that will have standing water under most circumstances
- Use a GPS unit to record the position of sampling locations.
- Sampling designs should be clearly documented to allow a consistent approach to be used by the same observer across multiple years or by multiple observers

If multiple samples are taken, report the mean value. If you do not take readings, report "NA". If you are certain that the unit is never subject to saltwater incursion, report "< 0.5" (the numerical definition of freshwater).

Water Gauge Reading (optional)

If the unit has a water level gauge, please record a reading each time a count is conducted. Be sure to provide the measurement units of the water level gauge.

Water Depth

Estimate the percent of the unit in each of four water depth categories (Table SOP-2.3) corresponding to waterbird guild use (Ma et al. 2010). Percent cover estimates should sum to 100% across the four categories.

Table SOP-2.3. Water Depth Categories

Dry Saturated/mud to 5 cm 5-25 cm (2-10 in) >25 cm (>10 in)

If ice is present, **do not** treat it as dry – instead estimate the total depth of water & ice by including ice as part of the water column when estimating water depths. Water depth cover estimates are independent of vegetation cover (i.e., areas with flooded vegetation should be included in water depth estimates).

There are two acceptable methods for estimating percent covers for water depth categories: (1) the preferred approach is to use a water bathymetry map in conjunction with a water gauge reading to estimate percent covers (SOP 4); (2) the non-preferred alternative is to use a visual assessment or other method.

Ice Cover

Across the entire survey unit, visually estimate and record the percent of the water surface that is covered by ice. Sheet water present on thawing ice should be treated as ice.

Habitat Cover (optional)

Use visual estimation to assess what percentage of a survey unit is open water, bare ground/mudflat, emergent, scrub-shrub, or forest. These classes are defined using classes found in the *Classification of Wetland and Deepwater Habitats of the United States* (Cowardin et al. 1979). See Table SOP-2.5 for a crosswalk between IWMM's habitat classes and those found in Cowardin et al. (1979).

IWMM Habitat Class	Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats Class
Open Water	See rock bottom, unconsolidated bottom, aquatic bed
Scrub-shrub	See scrub-shrub
Forest	See forest
Emergent	See emergent, vegetated unconsolidated shore
Bare ground	Streambed, rocky shore, unvegetated unconsolidated shore (i.e. mudflat)

Table SOP-2.4. Habitat classification crosswalk between the IWMM Initiative Protocol and Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States (Cowardin et al. 1979).

The following conditions apply when estimating cover of the different habitat classes:

- Percent covers for individual classes are considered mutually exclusive, so percent cover estimates across all habitat classes must sum to 100%.
- Open water can include submerged aquatic vegetation and floating-leaved aquatics such as American lotus (*Nelumbo lutea*) and watershield (*Brasenia schreberi*).
- Both open water and bare ground classes can include scattered emergent or woody vegetation up to 30% cover.
- Mowed or harvested vegetation should be treated as emergent unless submersed which would then make it open water.
- Crops planted in wetlands should be treated as emergent.
- Disked areas should be treated as bare ground unless litter residue > 30% cover.
- Because this measure is intended to assess habitat structure not energy content, senesced (dead) vegetation should be included in percent cover estimates for applicable habitat classes.

Interspersion (optional)

The configuration of vegetation and water/bare ground patches within a survey unit can potentially influence habitat quality and bird use. For this metric, vegetation patches are defined to include scrub-shrub, forest, and emergent vegetation areas whereas water/bare ground patches are defined to include open water, submerged aquatic vegetation, floating-leaved aquatic vegetation, and bare ground. Units with little or no vegetation (60-100% open) would fall into class L as a single large patch. Likewise units with 100% vegetation cover would fall into the S class. A survey unit can fall into one of three configuration classes (Figure SOP-2.2) based on Suir et al. (2013) as follows:

- Class L includes large and connected patches of water/bare ground features
- Class S contains small, disconnected patches of water/bare ground
- Class M contains discernible regions of both classes L and S

These classes reflect the interspersion, or inter-mixing, of vegetation and water/bare ground patches. Assign the survey unit to one of the configuration classes as an indicator of interspersion. Note that when water/bare ground covers >60% of a unit, the only possible configuration class is L.



Figure SOP-2.2. Examples of three configuration categories (L; S; M). The three categories are illustrated for different levels of water/bare ground cover (<40%; 40 to 60%; >60%). Water/bare ground areas are represented in blue above whereas vegetated areas are represented in green.

Disturbance severity (optional)

Please record whether there is a disturbance affecting the behavior or number of waterbirds in the survey unit either during your survey or immediately prior to it. Cooperators can conduct "flush counts" (surveys designed to intentionally flush a majority of birds in an effort increase detectability) to get more accurate counts of waterbirds in large or densely vegetated areas. Here, we are interested in disturbances that negatively influence your ability to get an accurate count. Score the disturbance on a scale 1 to 4 (Table SOP-2.4):

water	waterbind response to disturbance.						
Scale	Severity	Definition					
1	Light/none	no effect on waterbirds					
2	Moderate	some waterbirds move but stay within unit					
3	Heavy	some waterbirds leave unit					
4	Limiting	most/all waterbirds leave the unit					

Table SOP-2.5. Severity scale and associated definitions of	
waterbird response to disturbance.	

Disturbance source (optional)

If there is a disturbance of waterbirds (see *Disturbance Severity* above), check the appropriate box to identify its source. Several sources can be ticked. For example, a fisherman in a boat should be

ticked as both "Fishing" and "Boats". Potential sources are listed in Table SOP-2.5.

Code	Description
1	Pedestrian
2	Loose dog
3	Hunting
4	Fishing
5	Boats
6	Motor vehicles
7	Aircraft
8	Raptor
9	Other

Table SOP-2.6. Types ofdisturbance.

Chronic Human Disturbance

Characterize the unit for the period between the last and the current waterbird survey (Table SOP-2.6). For private lands, ask the area manager or landowner. For public lands, check site regulations or consult with management or law enforcement staff.

Table SOP-2.7. Chronic disturbance classes and their definitions.

Class	Description
1	Closed to all public use with infrequent entry only by resource managers or designees for
	management activities, surveys, or other non-hunting and non-recreation activities (i.e., sanctuary
	conditions) during conservation planning period of interest.
2	Managed access for all activities including firearms hunting with use levels regulated through
	temporal closures during conservation planning period of interest (e.g., hunting restricted to
	3 days/week).
3	Open access for non-hunting recreation activities via trails, viewing platforms, etc., within the unit
Ũ	boundaries. No firearms hunting allowed during the survey period or week prior during conservation
	planning period of interest.
4	Open access to public for firearms hunting and other forms of recreation within the unit during
	conservation planning period of interest (open access 7 days/week).

Counting and estimating waterbird numbers

Counts of individual waterbirds (see list in SM1) may be recorded by species on either the Waterbird Count or Survey Condition form for an individual survey unit (SM-3), or on the alternate form for surveying multiple management units (SM-4). Alternatively, observers may record both bird counts and the site conditions described above by utilizing the IWMM mobile app on an iPad or iPhone running Survey123. Counts of all observed species should be recorded. Scientific names are based on the 58th Supplement to the American Ornithological Union's (AOU) checklist (Chesser et al. 2017).

Be careful not to count individual waterbirds more than once. When in doubt about whether an individual waterbird was already seen, err on the side of <u>not</u> double-counting and assume it was already counted. If you find that no waterbirds are present, still record site conditions (e.g., disturbance, depth, etc.), and enter this information into the IWMM database. In this case, the database will automatically fill in zeros for bird counts, adding information that is vital for analysis.

Visually scan the wetland systematically, enumerating birds by species using guidance in Supplemental Materials 1. For larger projects, or projects where there are large numbers of waterbirds, it is often more practical to estimate numbers. Estimating numbers may also be necessary if waterbirds move around the wetland or are in very tightly packed flocks.

To count waterbirds in a flock, first estimate a 'block' of waterbirds (e.g. 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 500, 1000 waterbirds) depending on the total number of waterbirds in the flock and the size of the waterbirds. To do this, count a small number of waterbirds (e.g., 10) to gain a sense of what a group of 10 waterbirds "looks like." Then count by 10s to 50s or 100s to gain a sense of what 50 or 100 waterbirds "looks like." The block is then used as a model to measure the remainder of the flock. In the example below (Figure SOP-2.3), we use 'blocks'' of 20 birds to arrive at an estimate of 320 waterbirds.

In some instances, it might not be possible to get an accurate count of each species in a mixed flock, particularly if the flock contains similar species, such as scaup or small shorebirds (i.e., "peeps"). In such cases, try to estimate the percentage of the flock belonging to each species by "sub-sampling". To do this, choose several subsets of waterbirds across the flock, then count and identify all individuals within those subsets. Then use these estimates to provide an extrapolated estimate of numbers of each species in the entire flock. When using this method, be mindful of the fact that species may not be distributed evenly among the flock, so carry out several sub-samples. As an example, in the raft of ducks in Figure SOP-2.3, you might count the waterbirds in 3 subsamples of 20 waterbirds, identifying 12, 10 and 14 Redheads among them. These 36 Redheads represent 60% of the 60 waterbirds in those 3 subsamples - extrapolating this to the whole flock (previously estimated to be 320 waterbirds) would produce an estimate of 192 Redheads.

<u>SURVEY TIP</u>: If you are surveying projects with large numbers of waterbirds, it is often best to count in teams of two, one person counting while the other records the numbers on the field sheet. Alternatively, some people like to use audio recording devices, so that they are not constantly interrupting counts to record information.



Figure SOP-2.3. Estimating flock size for a raft of ducks. Count members within a visualized group, for example 20 individuals, then see how many groups there are in the flock. In this example 16 groups x 20 individuals/group = 320 individuals in the flock.

Training—First-time IWMM cooperators should view the survey materials located at <u>https://iwmmprogram.org/protocols-data-forms/.</u> Additional training resources for both mobile app and database operations can be found on the IWMM Support page at <u>https://iwmmprogram.org/support/.</u>

Inexperienced waterbird counters are advised to practice their counting and estimation techniques before participating in IWMM. This can be done in the field or at a desktop computer using Wildlife Counts software: <u>http://wildlifecounts.com/index.html</u>.

Young waterbirds/broods—Do not include dependent young waterbirds in counts. For geese, swans and ducks, assume juveniles are independent when they can fly. Any juveniles that did not hatch in the immediate vicinity should be included in counts (e.g., juvenile swans migrating in family groups).

Special survey techniques

Aerial Surveys—Aerial survey data can be incorporated into the IWMM database, provided that it includes the same site information as a standard ground-based whole-area count.

If aerial surveys are employed, the cooperators should note this in the IWMM database. In the bird survey database form select "Aerial Surveys" in the "Survey Type" dropdown box.

Flush Counts—Cooperators may conduct "flush counts" by intentionally disturbing birds into flight in order to get more accurate estimates of waterbirds in large or densely vegetated areas. If flush counts are employed, cooperators should select "Flush Counts" in the IWMM database using the "Survey Type" dropdown box.

References

- Chesser RT, Burns KJ, Cicero C, Dunn JL, Kratter AW, Lovette IJ, Rasmussen PC, Remsen JV, Rising JD, Stotz DF, Winker K. 2017. Fifty-eighth supplement to the American Ornithological Society's Check-list of North American Birds. The Auk 134:751-773.
- Cowardin LM, Carter V, Golet FC, LaRoe ET. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deepwater habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C.
- Fredrickson LH, Reid FA. 1991. 13.1.1 Nutritional values of waterfowl foods, Waterfowl Management Handbook. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C.
- Ma Z, Cai Y, Li B, Chen J. 2010. Managing wetland habitats for waterbirds: an international perspective. Wetlands 30:15–27.
- Suir GM, Evers DE, Steyer GD, Sasser CE. 2013. Development of a reproducible method for determining the quantity of water and its configuration in a marsh landscape. Journal of Coastal Research, Special Issue 63:110–117

SM 3: Waterbird Survey Form Single Unit (1 side)

ONE FORM PER SURVEY UNIT. Refer to the website for the most up-to-date data form: http://iwmmprogram.org/protocols-data-forms/

Unit Code	Start time		:		Observer(s)				
Date	End time	End time		:		Temp (f) Wind		d (Beaufort)	
Visibility	Local tide		Salinity (p)	pt)	Wa	ter gage		(units)	
Water Depth Dry Sum to 100%			low:5-25cm Deep: >.		25 cm % lce		Disturbance: Severity		
Habitat Cover Water/S	AV Scrub-shrub	Forest	Emergent	Bare Grou	^{ind} Interspersio		rsion	on Source	
Sum to 100%								Chronic	

Integrated Waterbird Management Monitoring

Waterbird Survey Form

Categorical Assessments : Local Tide: 1 = high; 2 = almost high, rising; 3 = almost high, falling; 4 = half tide, rising; 5 = half tide, falling; 6 = almost low, rising; 7 = almost low, falling; 8 = low; 9 = not observed, NA. Water Gage Units: 1=feet/tenths, 2=feet/inches, 3=meters Interspersion: class "L" = includes large water/bare ground features with connected patches and linear edge; Class "S" = contains small, disconnected patches of water/bare ground with increased random distribution and fewer instances of connection; Class "M" = consists of patterns that contain discernible regions of both configuration classes L and S. Disturbance Severity: 1 = no effect on waterbirds; 2 = some waterbirds move but stay within unit; 3 = some waterbirds leave unit; 4 = most/all waterbirds leave unit Disturbance Source (>1 code allowed): 1=Pedestrian, 2=Loose dog, 3=Hunting, 4= Fishing, 5=Boats, 6=Motor Vehicles, 7=Aircraft, 8=Raptor. Chronic Disturbance: 1 = Closed to all public use with entry into unit by resource managers or designees only; 2 = Managed public access including firearms hunting; 3 = open access via trail, viewing platforms etc. No firearms hunting allowed. 4 = Open access with firearms hunting.

Notes:

Required Metric

Optional Metric

ppt = parts per thousand

SM 4: Waterbird Survey Form Multiple Units (2 sides)

l

This is the standard field recording form for weekly waterbird counts - **for up to six units surveyed on the same day**. *Print double-sided*. If printed single sided, be sure to add project, unit and date to the second sheet! refer to the website for the most up-to-date data form: <u>http://iwmmprogram.org/protocols-data-forms/</u>

Project Name		Observers					
Start temp (°F)		Date		Wind (Beaufo 6)	rt 0-	notes	
U	nit Code:						1
Survey start/ei	nd time	J.	1	J.	1	T	1
% Visibility							
ocal Tide Con	ditions [®]				-		
Salinity							
Water Gauge ^b	(units=)						
Water Depth % of unit in	Dry						
each category (sum to 100)	Saturated/mud to 5cm						
(,	5 to 25 cm(≈ 2-9")						
	>25 cm(≈ >10")						
Estimation met Bathymetry +ga	t hod: age, Ocular, or Other		Υ				
Percent of ice o	over		-				
Habitat Cover % of unit in	Water (Include SAV. ^d & Floating-Leaved						
each category	Scrub-shrub						
(sum to 100)	Forest						
	Emergent						
ŀ	Bare Ground						
Interspersion [°]							
Disturbance se	verity ^d		- 7				
Disturbance so	urces ^e						
	disturbance ^f			1	-		

To be completed if not printed double- sided:	Project N	ame		Date		
Species	unit code:	unit code:	unit code:	unit code:	unit code:	unit code:
		-				
		-				
		-				
		-				
			-			
		÷	+			
	Contraction of the second s		sing; $3 = \text{almost high}$	State of the second sec	tide, rising; 5 = ha	ilf tide, falling; 6
= almost low, rising b, Water Gage Un			, 9 = not observed, N	А.		
- Max			re ground features w	th connected patel	nes and linear edg	e: Class "S"=
and here a second on a contract of the second s			ound with increased	and any manager band to whether	and stands the sound of the	
connection; Class "	M" = consists of p	atterns that con	tain discernible regio	ons of both configu	ration classes L a	nd S.
	and the second second second second second		; 2 = some waterbird	s move but stay w	ithin unit; 3 = son	ne waterbirds
leave unit; 4 = most						
	020	wed): 1=Pedest	rian, 2=Loose dog, 3	=Hunting, 4= Fish	ning, 5=Boats, 6=1	Motor Vehicles,
7=Aircraft, 8=Rapto f Chronic Disturb		to all public use	with entry into unit	by resource manag	ters or designees of	mlv: 2 = Manage
			cess via trail, viewin			
Open access with fi		<i>b,</i> 1	×	01	0	

SOP 5: Vegetation Survey

Follow these instructions for preparing and conducting yearly vegetation surveys and assessing annual productivity of each unit. An associated data collection sheet can be found in Supplemental Materials 7.

Equipment

- Map of the project and unit boundaries as a printed map(s) or within a mobile GIS application. Grid overlays may be added as an aid for percent cover calculations (Fig SOP 5.1) when using printed maps.
- Annual Vegetation Survey Form (See Supplemental Materials 4)
- Seed Head Photographic Guide in areas where annual emergent vegetation (i.e., moist-soil) is present (Supplemental Materials 5)
- Plastic bags or plant press, camera, and cell phone/tablet with ID app (e.g., iNaturtalist) for species identification (optional)



Figure SOP 5.1 Example of a printed field survey map with 1 acre grid overlay.

Survey schedule

Vegetation surveys are to be completed once annually, typically late in the growing season when dominant plant species have matured but before they senesce (August – October depending on latitude and elevation). In moist-soil wetlands, surveys should be completed once seed heads have matured but prior to seed head shattering for species included in the Seed Production Index.

Percent of Unit with Vegetation (required)

The proportion of the unit covered by vegetation (include SAV) should be visually estimated. Exclude areas where vegetation is thin, covering less than 30% of substrate, the balance being: bare ground, water without floating-leaved or submersed vegetation, or plant litter (Cowardin 1979). For example, a

recently disked area with scattered living plants covering only 5% of the area should be assessed entirely as non-vegetated. Litter includes vegetation killed by herbicide application. Mowed or harvested areas should be assessed as vegetated when the minimum threshold is met for living plants or as non-vegetated when litter or bare ground dominate (such as in late season mowing of mature annuals).

General Habitat Resource Type & Yield/Energetic Quality (required)

For each survey unit, record all habitat resource types (HRT) present and estimate the proportion of the total unit area represented by each type (see Appendix VV for definitions). To standardize interpretations of the HRTs, each natural vegetation type has been related to classifications that use detailed descriptions for delineating types: the National Vegetation Classification Standard (2008) and Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats (Cowardin 1979 – see Table SOP 5.2.). HRTs should not overlap and should sum to 100% across all types. In some situations, HRTs may gradually transition from one type to another creating indistinct transitional edges or ecotones. When assessing the extent of these transitional areas, use the dominant type and ignore inclusions of subdominant types that are less than 5% of the unit's size. Also, select a waterfowl energetic quality class (High, Medium, Low) for each HRT using the guidelines provided in Table SOP-5.1. Custom energy values, if known for a unit, may be entered into the database optionally to replace the default values.



Low energetic quality unit (<500 lbs./acre) showing expansive stands of undesirable vegetation (*Sesbania*, tall brown vegetation in background) with short and patchy stands of desirable seed producing annuals. 2019 White River NWR (AR), core sample estimate of 331 lbs/acre.

Moderate energetic quality unit (500 -1000 lbs./acre) dominated by desirable seed producing annuals with relatively short stature. 2019 Swan Lake NWR (MO), seed head morphology estimate of 545 lbs/acre.

High energetic quality unit (>1000 lbs./acre) showing thick and robust stands of desirable seed producing annual grasses with few undesirable species. 2018 Two Rivers NWR (IL), core sample estimate of 1052 lbs/acre.

Figure SOP 5.2. Photographs illustrating high degree of variability in seed production in the Habitat Resource Type of Freshwater Non-persistent Emergent Marsh. See energetic quality guidance in table SOP 5.1.

Resource	Habitat Resource Type	Definition	Energetic Quality in Waterfowl Energy Days (WED) WED = 300kcals		
Category			High	Moderate	Low
Natural Wetlands	Semi- permanent Wooded Wetlands	Wooded (e.g., swamp forest, scrub-shrub) wetlands where water is present during most of the non-breeding season for waterfowl and for at least 60 days during the growing season, such as cypress-tupelo brakes, narrow bayous, and other swamps with <10% oak component and covered by \geq 30% woody vegetation (>2 m in height)	Structurally diverse with high plant diversity and relatively natural hydrology; 300 WED/ac	Structurally diverse with moderate plant diversity with altered hydrology; 200 WED/ac	Low plant & structural diversity unnatural hydrology; 100 WED/ac
	Seasonal Wooded Wetlands	Wooded floodplain forests where water is present at some point during the non-breeding season for waterfowl, but typically not for more than 90 days and typically not for >60 days during the growing season (e.g., bottomland hardwood forest with an oak component >10%); area covered by \geq 30% woody vegetation (>2 m in height)	Oak composition >40%, flooding from natural water sources; 300 WED/ac	Oak composition 20-30%, flooding from natural water sources; 200 WED/ac	Oak composition <20%, flooding primarily using pumped ground/well water; 100 WED/ac
	Freshwater Persistent Emergent Marsh	Areas of primarily persistent emergent vegetation (e.g., <i>Typha</i> sp., <i>Zizaniopsis</i> sp., <i>Phragmites</i> sp.), such as semi-permanent emergent marshes, with <30% woody vegetation, bare ground, or open water during the growing season	Areas of perennial emergent vegetation that produces seeds (e.g., Zizania sp.) interspersed with shallow open water and submersed aquatice vegetation; 1,000 WED/ac	Areas of perennial emergent vegetation that produces seeds (e.g., Juncus sp., Scirpus sp., Schoenoplectus sp.) interspersed with shallow open water; 700 WED/ac	Areas of dense, perennial emergent vegetation (e.g., Typha sp., Phragmites sp., ??? sp.) in dense stands or with limited open water or flooding; 400 WED/ac

Table SOP-5.1.Habitat Resource Types and guidelines for assigning waterfowl energetic quality scores.

Resource Category	Habitat Resource Type	Definition	High	Moderate	Low
Natural Wetlands	Freshwater Non- persistent Emergent Marsh	Areas of primarily nonpersistent emergent vegetation (e.g., <i>Cyperus</i> sp., <i>Echinochloa</i> sp., <i>Panicum</i> sp.), such as managed moist-soil wetlands, with <30% woody vegetation, bare ground, or open water during the growing season	Excellent seed production (>1,000 lb/ac); primarily seed-producing annual vegetation with >75% grasses (e.g., <i>Echinochloa</i> spp., <i>Leptochloa</i> spp.) or redroot flatsedge; large seeds heads with dense coverage and SPI >45; 2,500 WED/ac	Average seed production (500- 1000 lb/ac); mix of seed-producing annual vegetation with 25-75% grasses (e.g., <i>Seteria</i> sp., <i>Panicum</i> sp.) and other annual broadleaf plants (e.g., <i>Polygonum</i> sp., <i>Bidens</i> sp.); SPI 35-45; 1,800 WED/ac	Poor seed production (<500 lb/ac); <25% grasses and other annual broadleaf plants (e.g., <i>Polygonum</i> sp.); abundant bare ground, sparse vegetation, and coverage of undesirable (e.g., <i>Sesbania</i> sp., <i>Xanthium</i> sp.), and/or low energy- producing species (e.g., <i>Sagitarria</i> sp., <i>Echinodorus</i> sp.); SPI <35; 1,000 WED/ac
	Brackish Emergent Marsh	Areas of persistent and non-persistent emergent vegetation in brackish (1-30 ppt) areas with interspersed areas of open water (<1 ac). Includes tidal zones, brackish impoundments, and other areas with >30% emergent vegetation and periodic flooding.	High marsh (<10 ppt) with interspersion of seed-producing plants and open water, possibly including some SAV species occurring sporadically; 900 WED/ac	Intermediate marsh (<10-20 ppt) with some seed- producing plants but typically ≥40% open water or emergent vegetation (limited interspersion); 500 WED/ac	Low marsh (>20 ppt) with monocultures of vegetation or primarily open water devoid of vegetation; 200 WED/ac

Resource Category	Habitat Resource Type	Definition	High	Moderate	Low
	Aquatic Bed	Lake, pond, reservoir or other area of semi- permanently or permanently flooded water with areas of >30% submersed (SAV) and/or floating- leaf vegetation (FLAV) and <30% emergent vegetation	High SAV cover, low FLAV cover; 3,000 WED/ac	Moderate SAV cover, moderate FLAV cover; 1,600 WED/ac	Low SAV cover and high FLAV cover; 800 WED/ac
	Open Water	Lake, pond, reservoir, bay, sound, or other area of open water with <30% emergent, submersed, or floating-leaf vegetation	Abundant macroinvertebrates; 6 WED/ac*	Some macroinvertebrates; 3 WED/ac*	Few macroinvertebrates; 1 WED/ac*
Natural Wetlands	Riverine	River, stream, canal, or ditch channel with dynamic water levels, typically flowing water during most of the year, and limited flooded vegetation (<30%) under normal water levels	Abundant macroinvertebrates; 6 WED/ac*	Some macroinvertebrates; 3 WED/ac*	Few macroinvertebrates; 1 WED/ac*
	Mudflat	Mainly unvegetated areas (<30% vegetation) such as wet or dry mud, bare ground, or beach.	High levels of organic material; 200 WED/ac	Moderate levels of organic material; 100 WED/ac	Low levels of organic material (sand, silt, clay) such as beach; 50 WED/ac
	Unharvested Rice	Unharvested rice, including ratoon or volunteer rice that may have a reduced yield	Very good yield; ~150 bu/ac; 35,000 WED/ac	Typical yield on NWRs; ~110 bu/ac; 25,000 WED/ac	Very poor yield or low planting rates, ratoon and volunteer rice; ~60 bu/ac; 14,000 WED/ac
	Unharvested Grain Sorghum	Unharvested grain sorghum (milo)	~70 bu/ac; 20,000 WED/ac	~50 bu/ac; 15,000 WED/ac	~30 bu/ac; 9,000 WED/ac

Resource Category	Habitat Resource Type	Definition	High	Moderate	Low
Cropland	Unharvested Corn	Unharvested corn	~140 bu/ac; 43,000 WED/ac	Typical yield on public lands from June or July planting; ~105 bu/ac; 33,000 WED/ac	Includes grassy corn, ~70 bu/ac; 22,000 WED/ac
	Unharvested Soybean	Unharvested soybean or similar bean	~50 bu/ac; 12,000 WED/ac	~40 bu/ac; 9,600 WED/ac	~30 bu/ac; 7,000 WED/ac
	Unharvested Millet	Unharvested millet, including Japanese, proso, golden, white, Chiwapa, or other	8,000 WED/ac	5,000 WED/ac	2,000 WED/ac
	Green Browse	Wheat, clover, or other forage planted in uplands where shoots are the primary food available to waterfowl	Lots of growth and consumption to base of plant; 3000 WED/ac	Moderate growth and consumption primarily above plant base; 1900 WED/ac	Limit growth or limited consumption of only outermost leaves/blades; 1000 WED/ac
Cropland	Unharvested Other	Unspecified unharvested crop that produces seeds or tubers as the primary food source for waterfowl, such as buckwheat, sunflower, chufa, or other.	8,000 WED/ac	5,000 WED/ac	2,000 WED/ac
	Harvested Crops	Any harvested crop that may be flooded during the non-breeding period and accessible to waterfowl.	Rice or milo or other crops harvested in late fall; 800 WED/ac	Corn or other grain crops harvested mid-fall; 500 WED/ac	Soybeans or other crops harvested in early fall; 200 WED/ac
Non- Waterfowl Habitat	Not Applicable	Any cover type not available to be used by waterfowl that may occur within the survey unit (e.g., upland forest, upland grassland, etc.). All croplands and wetlands should be assigned to an existing HRT.	NA	NA	NA

Table SOP 5.2. Crosswalk of Habitat Resource Types in the natural wetlands resource category to the National Vegetation Classification Standard (NVCS) and National Wetland Classification System (Cowardin 1979).

Habitat Resource Type	Definition	NVCS	National Wetland Classification System: Class
Semipermanent Wooded wetlands	Wooded (e.g., swamp forest, scrub-shrub) wetlands where water is present during most of the non-breeding season for waterfowl and for at least 60 days during the growing season, such as cypress-tupelo brakes, narrow bayous, and other swamps with <10% oak component and covered by ≥30% woody vegetation (>2 m in height)	All Forest and woodland, class 1, alliances and Shrub and herb wetlands, Class 2.C, alliances that are wetlands dominated by woody vegetation	All systems: Scrub-shrub & Forested wetlands with the following water regimes: permanently flooded, intermittently flooded, intermittently exposed, semipermanently flooded.
Seasonal Wooded Wetlands	Wooded floodplain forests where water is present at some point during the non-breeding season for waterfowl, but typically not for more than 90 days and typically not for >60 days during the growing season (e.g., bottomland hardwood forest with an oak component >10%); area covered by ≥30% woody vegetation (>2 m in height)	All Forest and woodland, class 1, alliances and Shrub and herb wetlands, Class 2.C, alliances that are wetlands dominated by woody vegetation	All systems: Scrub-shrub & Forested wetlands with the following water regimes: seasonally flooded, temporarily flooded, intermittently flooded, & saturated.
Freshwater Persistent Emergent Marsh	Areas of primarily persistent emergent vegetation (e.g., Typha sp., Zizaniopsis sp., Phragmites sp.), such as semi- permanent emergent marshes, with <30% woody vegetation, bare ground, or open water during the growing season	All perennial dominated alliances except shrublands within Temperate to Polar Freshwater Marsh, Wet Meadow & Shrubland Formation (2.C.4).	Lacustrine & Palustrine: persistent emergent
Freshwater Non- Persistent Emergent Marsh	Areas of primarily nonpersistent emergent vegetation (e.g., Cyperus sp., Echinochloa sp., Panicum sp.), such as managed moist-soil wetlands, with <30% woody vegetation, bare ground, or open water during the growing season	All annual dominated alliances within the Temperate to Polar Freshwater Marsh, Wet Meadow & Shrubland Formation (2.C.4).	Lacustrine & Palustrine: Unconsolidated shore when pioneering vegetation \geq 30% cover & non- persistent emergent.
Brackish Emergent Marsh	Brackish marsh with interspersed areas of open water (<1 ac) and emergent vegetation and other aquatic vegetation	All alliances within Salt Marsh Formation (2.C.5)	Estuarine: emergent and Lacustrine Palustrine: Emergent & unconsolidated shore with saline or mixosaline water chemistry
Aquatic Bed	Lake, pond, reservoir or other area of permanently flooded water with submersed and/or floating-leaf vegetation	All alliances within the North American Freshwater Aquatic Vegetation Division (5.2.B.na)	Lacustrine Palustrine: Aquatic Bed
Open Water	Lake, pond, reservoir or other area of permanently flooded and without vegetation	NA	Lacustrine Palustrine: Unconsolidated bottom, rock bottom,
Riverine	River, ditch, or stream with dynamic water levels, strong flow, and limited flooded vegetation under normal water levels	NA	Riverine: Unconsolidated bottom, rock bottom, Streambed
Mudflat	Mainly unvegetated areas (<30% vegetation) such as wet or dry mud, bare ground, or beach.	NA	All Systems: Unconsolidated Shore when pioneering vegetation is below 30%

Plant community composition/species assessment (optional)

Plant community composition is assessed by estimating the canopy cover of individual plant species within the **vegetated portion of the survey unit** (i.e., across all HRTs with vegetation). Only vegetation from the <u>current growing season</u> should be included in plant community composition assessments. Herbaceous agricultural or planted crops should also be included (e.g., rice, millet, sorghum, etc.) if left unharvested. Two major steps are involved in the assessment of plant community composition: (1) assessment of percent vegetation cover (emergent, floating leaved, or submersed) within the survey unit and (2) species inventory and species-specific percent cover assessments within the areas of vegetation.

Observers should determine the location of all wetland vegetation patches within a survey unit. This could be done through a visual assessment around the perimeter of the survey unit or by traversing across the unit; recent aerial photographs may also be helpful. Once the observer is confident they have identified all vegetation patches, they should estimate and record the percent of the survey unit covered by vegetation. Percent cover is defined as a canopy cover measurement being the percentage of the survey unit covered by vertical projections from the outermost perimeter of plants' foliage (Anderson 1986) (Figure SOP- 5.1). Again, for this metric, percent cover assessments should exclusively consider vegetation from the current season's growth.



Figure SOP-5.1. Different levels of vegetation cover (green patches). Panels labeled with a "C" show clumped patches of vegetation and water whereas those with a "D" show dispersed or spread out patches.

For a single composite representing all areas of emergent vegetation (may span multiple Habitat Resource Types), cooperators may compile a list of common (>5% canopy cover) plant species and estimate each species' percent cover. For this assessment, the following pertains to percent cover estimates:

- For individual plant species, cover is defined as above except that it is *estimated as a percentage of the unit's vegetation area* **not** as a percentage of total survey unit area. As an example, consider a survey unit that contains only cattail as an emergent plant species. Cattail may cover 50% of the total survey unit area, but as an individual plant species, it covers 100% of the wetland vegetation area within a survey unit; report 100% as the estimate.
- Cover should be estimated only for common species, species covering >5% of the wetland vegetation area.
- Total cover across species can exceed 100% due to the stratification of plant species with varying heights and growth forms.

Seed head assessments (optional)

Choose a category for seed-head size and density (Naylor et al. 2005) whenever a moist-soil species listed in SM 6: Seed Head Assessment Guide for Selected Wetland Plants with Food Value to Waterfowl, is assessed for % cover. Use the "Not Assessed" category for species that have deteriorated seed heads at the time of assessment or difficult to assess seed heads.

Plant taxa included in SM6:

- Barnyardgrass or wild millet (*Echinochloa crus-galli*)
- Coast cockspur grass or Walter's millet (Echinchloa walteri)
- Rice Cutgrass (*Leersia oryzoides*)
- Fall panicgrass (*Panicum dichotomiflorum*)
- Curlytop knotweed (*Polygonum lapathifolium*)
- Pennsylvania smartweed, pinkweed or big seeded smartweed (Polygonum pensylvanicum)
- Foxtail (*Setaria*)
- Beggarticks (*Bidens*)
- Yellow Nutsedge (*Cyperus esculentus*)
- Amazon sprangletop (*Leptochloa panicoides*)
- Redroot flatsedge (*Cyperus erythrorhizos*)
- Goosefoot, Lambsquarters (*Chenopodium album*)
- Swamp Timothy or Swamp Pricklegrass (Crypsis schoenoides (L.) Lam.)

Using ocular estimation, qualitatively assess seed head size for a given species as average, smaller, or larger than the average size for the species. For example, *Polygonum pensylvanicum* would be compared to average size of seed heads for this species. Use the "Not Assessed" category for species that have deteriorated seed heads at the time of assessment or difficult to assess seed heads.

We provide a photographic guide to assist you in making seed head size assessments (see Supplemental Materials 6). The guide includes many common waterfowl food sources but may exclude some regionally important species. If you encounter a species that is energetically important and not listed in the photographic guide, please email one of the <u>regional contacts</u> to suggest the species as an addition to the guide.

For each common plant species, visually assess seed head density based on two considerations:

- The density of stems for a species (i.e., thick or thin stands)
- The proportion of stems with seed heads, low or high proportional of stems with inflorescences.

Through ocular assessments, seed head density is assigned to ordinal categories including low, moderate, or high. Low seed head density is characterized by large areas of bare ground and a low proportion of seed heads to plant stems. High stem density is assigned to areas with little bare ground and a high proportion of seed heads to stems. Moderate stem densities fall between these two extremes.

Finally, please use the checkbox to note if a species-level assessment was completed for the unit or not. This is helpful to know in the event no species are recorded.

References

Anderson EW. 1986. A guide for estimating cover. Rangelands 8:236–238.

Bowyer MW, Stafford JD, Yetter AP, Hine CS, Horath MM, Havera SP (2005) Moist-soil plant seed production for waterfowl at Chautauqua National Wildlife Refuge, Illinois. The American Midland Naturalist 154(2):331–341

Brasher, M.G., M. Parr, B.C. Wilson. 2018. Waterfowl foraging habitat abundance in forested wetlands of the Gulf Coast Joint Venture region. Gulf Coast Joint Venture, 700 Cajundome Blvd, Lafayette, Louisiana.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter V., F.C. Golet, E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Report No. FWS/OBS/-79/31.Washington, D.C.

FGDC [Federal Geographic Data Committee]. 2008. National Vegetation Classification Standard (Version 2.0). FGDC-STD-005-2008. Vegetation Subcommittee, Federal Geographic Data Committee, Reston, VA. 126 pp

Gross, M.C., McClain, S.E., Lancaster, J.D., Jacques, C.N., Davis, J.B., Simpson, J.W., Yetter, A.P. and Hagy, H.M. (2020), Variation in True Metabolizable Energy Among Aquatic Vegetation and Ducks. Jour. Wild. Mgmt., 84: 749-758.

Hagy H.M. Kaminski R.M. 2012. Apparent seed use by ducks in moist-soil wetlands the Mississippi Alluvial Valley. Journal of Wildlife Management 76:1053–1061

Heitmeyer, M.E. 2010. A manual for calculating duck use-days to determine habitat resource values and waterfowl population energetic requirements in the Mississippi Alluvial Valley. Greenbrier Wetland Services

Report 10-01. Blue Heron Conservation Design and Printing LLC, Bloomfield, MO.

Kross J, Kaminski RM, Reinecke KJ, Penny EJ, Pearse AT (2008) Moist-soil seed abundance in managed wetlands in the Mississippi Alluvial Valley. Journal of Wildlife Management 72(3):707–714

Lower Mississippi Valley Joint Venture. 2015. MAV Waterfowl Stepdown State Summaries. LMVJV Waterfowl Working Group c/o Lower Mississippi Valley Joint Venture, Vicksburg, MS.

McClain, S.E., Hagy, H.M., Hine, C.S., Yetter, A.P., Jacques, C.N. and Simpson, J.W. (2019), Energetic implications of floodplain wetland restoration strategies for waterfowl. Restor Ecol, 27: 168-177.

Naylor LW, Eadie JM, Smith WD, Eichholz M, Gray MJ. 2005. A simple method to predict seed yield in moist-soil habitats. Wildlife Society Bulletin 33:1335–1341.

Straub JN, Gates RJ, Schultheis RD, Yerkes T, Coluccy JM, Stafford JD (2012) Wetland food resources for spring-migrating ducks in the upper Mississippi River and Great Lakes region. Journal of Wildlife Management 76(4):1–10
SM 5: Annual Vegetation Survey Form

Refer to the website for the most up-to-date data form: <u>http://iwmmprogram.org/protocols-data-forms/</u>

	Annual Vegetation Survey Form								
Unit Code		D	ate	Notes:					
% Unit w/vegetation									
		На	bitat R	esourc	е Туре	-			
Natural	% Unit₀	Ener	getic Qu	uality	Сгор	% Unit _b	Ener	getic Q	uality
Semi-permanent Wooded Wetlands		High	Mod	Low	Unharvested Rice		High	Mod	Low
Seasonal Wooded Wetlands		High	Mod	Low	Unharvested Grain		High	Mod	Low
Freshwater Non-persistent Emergent Marsh		High	Mod	Low	Unharvested Corn		High	Mod	Low
Freshwater Persistent Emergent Marsh		High	Mod	Low	Unharvested Soybean		High	Mod	Low
Brackish Emergent Marsh		High	Mod	Low	Unharvested Millet		High	Mod	Low
Aquatic Bed / SAV		High	Mod	Low	Green Browse		High	Mod	Low
Open Water		High	Mod	Low	Unharvested Other		High	Mod	Low
Riverine		High	Mod	Low	Harvested Crops		High	Mod	Low
Mudflat		High	Mod	Low	Other nonwaterfowl habitat			NA	
Species	% cover _c	See	d Head	Size	Seed He	ad Density	r		
species		Large	Avg	Small	High	Mod	Low	_	_
		Large	Avg	Small	High	Mod	Low	•	
		Large	Avg	Small	High	Mod	Low	Check	boxif
		Large	Avg	Small	High	Mod	Low		was
		Large	Avg	Small	High	Mod	Low		ed and
		Large	Avg	Small	High	Mod	Low		plant Is were
		Large	Avg	Small	High	Mod	Low	obse	erved
		Large	Avg	Small	High	Mod	Low	. г	
		Large	Avg	Small	High	Mod	Low	. L	
		Large	Avg	Small	High	Mod	Low		
		Large	Avg	Small	High	Mod	Low		
		Large	Avg	Small	High	Mod	Low		
a. Estimate portion of entire survey	-	-							
C. % cover for individual plant taxa. only. Exclude bare ground & water p	ortions of the u	nit lacking	vegetation	percent c	over total across species	may	Rec	quired Me	trics
exceed 100%. Assess seed heads for Pennsylvania smartweed, goosefoot							ор	tional Met	trics
flatsedge. See manual for specifics.									

Integrated Waterbird Management Monitoring

6: Recording Management Actions

Follow these instructions for recording management actions for each unit surveyed. An associated management record sheet can be found in Supplemental Materials 7.

Resources

- Map of the project and unit boundaries
- Wetland management activities record (Supplemental Materials 7) for recording implemented actions

To develop effective and informed strategies in an adaptive management approach, a reasonable range of management activities must be considered (Williams 2011). Therefore, in addition to monitoring waterbird use and habitat response, periodic habitat management activities should be tracked for each management unit. Infrequent management activities involving major modifications or infrastructure development are excluded.

- 1. Create a wetland management activities record (Supplemental Materials 9) for each unit that documents individual treatment actions as listed in Table SOP-6.1. Broad classes are provided to narrow the search for matching actions. Start the annual tracking period at the beginning of the growing season that precedes the subsequent nonbreeding period.
- 2. Update the record through the season as actions are implemented. Create a new entry for repeat applications as necessary to maintain effective treatment (e.g. mowing). Record the geographic extent (footprint as the proportion of a management unit) for each entry. Total percent manipulated may exceed 100% since applications may overlap.
- 3. Cooperators should enter management action records into IWMM's centralized, online database on a routine basis, concurrent with their waterbird surveys, or as actions are completed.

Start Date (required) – Initiation date for applications and treatments (e.g. date of planting, spraying, flooding, etc.)

End Date (required) – Completion date for applications and treatments (e.g. harvest date, drawdown date, etc.). May be the same as Start Date if action completed on the same day.

% of Unit Treated (required) – Out of the total unit extent (100%). Important to understand treatment costs and effectiveness within and across units.

The following treatment categories are provided to guide the selection of individual actions:

Crop cultivation — Includes all activities related to the production of a harvested crop or a crop left standing. Cultivation or other actions commonly used in agriculture are excluded if a crop was not produced. Sowed stands of millet cultivars should be included here but not volunteer stands.

Chemical treatment method — Use of herbicides, insecticides, fungicides, or fertilizers to manage vegetation not related to crop production.

Prescribed Burn — Controlled burns completed within a range of prescriptions described in an approved burn plan.

Mechanical treatment — Managing soil, herbaceous vegetation, or light woody vegetation (<4.5" DBH) with mechanized equipment. Action includes common agricultural tillage practices and mowing not related to the production of a crop in the current year.

Woody removal — cutting/removal of large diameter woody vegetation (>4.5" DBH) with tree shear, mulcher, excavator, or other means.

Grazing — Controlled grazing completed within a range of prescriptions described in an approved grazing plan.

Restoration — Establishment of non-crop herbaceous, aquatic, or woody vegetation.

Water level management — Actions applied to manipulate water levels through adjusting water control structures, pumping, or facilitating water movement through flooding and/or draw-down. The term "draw-down" refers to total dewatering that exposes the bottom substrate of a wetland. The % of unit affected is the same as the % of the unit exposed during a drawdown. Reductions in water level that do not expose the bottom substrate should be assigned as "other water".

Treatment Details (optional) – Use this field to capture additional details regarding treatment action, such as chemical rate, contract source (if applicable), weather conditions, etc.

Cost Estimate (calculated) – General cost information is automatically populated using a strategy list from the Refuge Lands Geographic Information System (RLGIS, USFWS 2010) which served as foundation for a compiled list of actions (Table SOP-6.1). The RLGIS Actions were modified and fitted with costs from Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) cost-share practices (NRCS 2012, NRCS 2014 a, b). Pumping logs, pump specifications, power source fuel use, and an irrigation study served as a basis for the fuel-use based pumping cost estimates (SRS Crisafulli Inc. 2014, University of NE 2011, Henggeler 2012). Crop input costs are based on production agriculture cost estimates (Dhuyvetter et al., Dobbins et al. 2012, Duffy 2014, Greer et al. 2012, USDA 2012). Estimates for prescribed goat grazing in wetlands and mechanical marsh shredders are derived from Greenfield et al. (2006). Costs for chemical control of woody invasive plants based on Rathfon and Ruble (2006) and NRCS (2012).

All default cost estimates are generalized and apply to actions with highly variable costs. These estimates are not recommended for use in budgeting purposes, cost benefit analysis, or other exercises requiring a high degree of accuracy.

Note: Drawdown costs are based on acre-feet and may require % of unit treated to be modified to generate a more accurate measure of the true costs. For example a wetland that is 18 inches deep on average at the initiation of drawdown would have a cost increased by 1.5 times the acre foot cost and entered in database as a custom cost. Consult volume charts when available.

Custom Cost (optional) – Participants may input their own cost information when known as a separate entry which will overwrite the default value(s). Cooperator-generated cost estimates should be used whenever available and included in a site-specific survey protocol. To provide decision support, management actions are classified into high, moderate, or low cost. For example, estimated costs for weed control based on density are classified as: low<\$54.00/acre, mod \$54-\$212/acre, and high >\$212/acre (NRCS 2012, NRCS 2014 a, b).

Strategy group	Strategy	unit	unit cost	cost class
agriculture	aerial seeding-ag	acre	\$9	low
agriculture	buckwheat	acre	\$74	low
agriculture	conventional corn	acre	\$312	mod
agriculture	conventional rice	acre	\$469	high
agriculture	dirty rice	acre	\$234	mod
agriculture	grain harvest	acre	\$28	low
agriculture	grain sorghum	acre	\$253	mod
agriculture	grassy corn	acre	\$160	mod
agriculture	irrigation	acre	\$100	low
agriculture	millet (cultivars)	acre	\$73	low
agriculture	other crop	acre	~	\sim
agriculture	post-harvest mowing	acre	\$15	low
agriculture	soybeans	acre	\$148	mod
agriculture	wheat	acre	\$177	mod
			.	
Chemical application	Specialty chemical (excludes application)	acre	\$19	low
Chemical application	Common chemical (excludes application)	acre	\$12	low
Chemical application	aerial boom (excludes chemical)	acre	\$16	low
Chemical application	aerial spray (excludes chemical)	acre	\$20	low
Chemical application	basal bark, low	acre	\$242	mod
Chemical application	broadcast	acre	\$242	mod
Chemical application	chemical injection, low	acre	\$242	mod
Chemical application	cut stump, low	acre	\$242	mod
Chemical application	foliar spray, low	acre	\$83	low
Chemical application Chemical application	foliar spray, high	acre	\$383	high
Chemical application	hack and squirt, low	acre	\$31	low
Chemical application	herbaceous weed control high density	acre	\$707	high
Chemical application	herbaceous weed control low density	acre	\$54	low
Chemical application	herbaceous weed control mod	acre	\$212	mod
Chemical application	density Dry-flowable spreader (excludes chem./fertilizer)	acre	\$9	low

Table SOP-6.1 Wetland Management Actions.

Chemical application Chemical application Chemical application Chemical application	Fertilizer (excludes application) lime Liquid soil injection (excludes chemical) spot spray	acre acre acre acre	\$340 \$23 \$14 \$54	high low low Low
Fire-Prescribed	prescribed burn	acre	\$27	low
mechanical	backhoe excavation of macrophytes	acre	\$2,142	high
mechanical	chisel	acre	\$15	low
mechanical	conventional tillage	acre	\$13	low
mechanical	floating mechanical shredder	acre	\$526	high
moonamoar	(cookie cutter)	uere	<i>QZU</i>	mgn
mechanical	cultipacked	acre	\$8	low
mechanical	disking (cutting/offset)	acre	\$16	low
mechanical	disking (finish)	acre	\$13	low
mechanical	drum chop	acre	\$324	mod
mechanical	harrow	acre	\$9 \$9	low
mechanical	hay	acre	\$12	low
mechanical	mow	acre	\$16	low
mechanical	other mechanical	acre	\sim	~
mechanical	packing	acre	\$8	low
mechanical	plow	acre	\$19	low
mechanical	raked	acre	\$5	low
mechanical	roller (smooth drum)	acre	\$19	low
mechanical	roller Chop	acre	\$19	low
mechanical	subsoiler	acre	\$17	low
mechanical	amphibious mechanical shredder	acre	\$982	high
mechanical	Floating (aquaplant) harvester	acre	\$9,130	high
mechanical	biomass harvester	acre	\$982	high
meenumeur		uere	φ 902	шъп
mechanical woody	bank axe	acre	\$385	high
mechanical woody	brush control high	acre	\$795	high
mechanical woody	brush control low	acre	\$385	high
mechanical woody	brush control moderate	acre	\$636	high
mechanical woody	chainsaw	acre	\$576	high
mechanical woody	dozer	acre	\$877	high
mechanical woody	drum chop-woody	acre	\$324	mod
mechanical woody	feller buncher bar saw head	acre	\$324	mod
mechanical woody	feller buncher high speed head	acre	\$324	mod
mechanical woody	feller buncher intermittent head	acre	\$324	mod
mechanical woody	hydro-axe	acre	\$324	
mechanical woody	mulching mower fecon/gyro	acre	\$324	mod
	track			
mechanical woody	other mechanical woody	acre	~	~
mechanical woody	Tree shear	acre	\$467	high
mechanical woody	wood gator	acre	\$324	mod

prescribed Grazing prescribed Grazing	flash grazing goats - emergent traditional biweekly rotation	acre acre	\$1,251 \$85	high low
restoration herbaceous restoration herbaceous restoration herbaceous	broadcast seeding-aerial broadcast seeding-terrestrial other restoration herbaceous.	acre acre acre	\$9 \$23 ~	low low ~
restoration woody restoration woody restoration woody restoration woody	direct seeding hand plant container mechanical tree planter other restoration. woody allow natural succession	acre acre acre acre acre	\$722 \$490 \$554 ~ \$0	high high ~ low
water level	active draw down pumped	acre-foot	\$6	low
water level	(>18,000 GPM) active draw down pumped (3000 -18,000 GPM diesel)	acre-foot	\$15	low
water level	active draw down pumped (3000- 18,000 gpm electric)	acre-foot	8	low
water level	active draw-down gravity flow	acre-foot	\$0	low
water level	active draw-down pumped (<3000GPM diesel)	acre-foot		low
water level	active draw-down pumped (<3000GPM electric)	acre-foot	\$11	low
water level	drain completely	acre-foot	\$0	low
water level	excavation	acre	\$413	high
water level	flood up gravity flow	acre-foot	\$0	low
water level	flood up opportunistic	acre-foot	\$ 0	low
water level	flood up pumped (<3000 -18,000 GPM diesel)	acre-foot	\$15	low
water level	active flood up pumped (3000- 18,000 gpm electric)	acre-foot	8	low
water level	flood up pumped (<3000GPM diesel)	acre-foot	\$23	low
water level	flood up pumped (<3000GPM electric)	acre-foot	\$11	low
water level	flood up pumped (>18000 GPM)	acre-foot	\$6	low
water level	levee removal, ditch plugs and floodplain features	acre	\$116	mod
water level	natural draw-down	acre-foot	\$ 0	low
water level	other water	acre-foot	~	\sim
water level	sediment removal ditch plug	acre	\$1,307	high
water level	tile removal	acre	\$445	high
water level	topographic feature creation, high	acre	\$1,356	high
water level	topographic feature creation, low	acre	\$728	high
water level	water level maintenance	acre-foot	\$23	low
	(<3000GPM diesel)			
water level	water level maintenance (<3000GPM electric)	acre-foot	\$11	low
	42			

water level	water level maintenance (>18,000 GPM)	acre-foot	\$6	low
water level	water level maintenance (3000 - 18,000 GPM diesel)	acre-foot	\$15	low
water level	water level maintenance (3000- 18,000 gpm electric)	acre-foot	8	low

References

Dhuyvetter KC, O'Brien DM, Douglas S. 2014. Grain Sorghum Cost-Return Budget in Southeast Kansas, Kansas State University. Manhattan. Farm Management Guide MF995.

Dobbins CL, Langemeier MR, Miller WA, Nielsen B, Vyn TJ, Casteel S, Johnson BB, Wise K. 2012. 2013 Purdue Crop Cost & Return Guide. Cooperative Extension Service Purdue University. West Lafayette, Indiana.

Duffy M. 2014. Estimated Costs of Crop Production in Iowa: 2014 File A1-20. Cooperative Extension Service Iowa State University of Science and Technology, Ames, Iowa.

Greenfield BK, Blankinship M, McNabb TJ. 2006. Control Costs, Operation, and Permitting Issues for Nonchemical Plant Control: Case Studies in the San Francisco Bay-Delta Region, California. Journal of Aquatic Plant Management 44:40–49.

Greer CA, Mutters RG, Espino LA, Buttner P, Klonsky KM, De Moura RL, Tumber KP. 2012. Sample Costs to Produce Rice. Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, University of California, Davis.

Henggeler JC. 2012. Irrigation Systems, Wells, and Pumps of the Mississippi River Alluvium Aquifer of Southeast Missouri. T.E. "Jake" Fisher Delta Center. Commercial Agriculture Program, University of Missouri Extension. Columbia.

Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2012. FY2013 Practice Payment Schedule for EQIP/WHIP. Available: <u>http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs141p2_035967.pdf</u> (April 2014).

Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2014a. Working Lands for Wildlife 2014 Payment Schedule. Available: <u>http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/PA_NRCSConsumption/download?cid=stelprdb1247312&ext=p df</u> (April 2014).

Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2014b. FY2014 Payment Scenario Descriptions for Illinois. Available: <u>http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/PA_NRCSConsumption/download?cid=stelprdb1243994&ext=x lsx</u> (April 2014).

Rathfon, R and Ruble K. 2006. Herbicide Treatments for Controlling Invasive Bush Honeysuckle in a Mature Hardwood Forest in West-central Indiana. Pages 187-197 in Buckley DS, Clatterbuck WK, editors. Proceedings 15th Central Hardwood Forest Conference. Asheville, North Carolina, U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Southern Research Station.

SRS Crisafulli Inc. 2014. Trailer Pumps Product Catalogue. Available: http://www.crisafullipumps.com/products-services/pumps/trailer/.

University of Missouri Extension. 2012. 2012 Custom Rates for Farm Services in Missouri. Cooperative Extension University of Missouri. Columbia.

University of Nebraska Lincoln. 2011. Nebraska OECD Tractor Test 1987-Summary 760. Nebraska Tractor Test Laboratory University of Nebraska Lincoln, East Campus. Lincoln.

USDA. 2012. Conservation Systems Fact Sheet No. 040. National Soil Dynamics Laboratory. Auburn, Alabama.

Williams BK. 2011. Adaptive management of natural resources: framework and issues. Journal of Environmental Management 92.5:1346–1353.

Williams BK, Szaro RC, Shapiro CD. 2009. Adaptive Management: The U.S. Department of Interior Technical Guide. Adaptive Management Working Group, U.S. Department of Interior, Washington, D.C.

SM 7: Wetland Management Record

This is the standard field data collection form for management activities. ONE FORM PER SURVEY UNIT (MAY BE SEVERAL PAGES PER UNIT). Refer to the IWMM Ning website for the most up-to-date data form: http://iwmmprogram.ning.com/

Wetland Management Record

Unit Name	Unit Code	Activity Year ¹	
-----------	-----------	----------------------------	--

Log of Planned and Implemented Actions²: Page 1 of

Action ³	Planned start date	Planned end date	Planned % of unit		Actual end date	Implemented % of unit ⁴
	start date	end date	or unit	start date	end date	or unit

1. Start of growing season year one through start of the growing season for year two (e.g. 2014/15).

2. Create a new entry for repeated applications of an action when the interval between applications exceeds the time required for a single application.

3. See Habitat Management Action Table (Table SOP-6.1).

4. Report as surface coverage of manipulated water for water level actions.

SOP 7: Data Entry and Management Instructions

IWMM – AKN database. This SOP provides instructions for access, data entry, data verification, and database administration.

Gain Access to the Database

If the project is not setup as an AKN project, the first step is to contact your regional contact for IWMM or IWMM project coordinator to have the project created in the database. If this is an ongoing survey, the project should already exist in the AKN database. Once the project is created, the Survey Coordinator will need to register for an account to gain access to the IWMM portal. Instructions for registering are at http://www.iwmmprogram.org/documents/Instructions-registration-access.pdf.

Terminology

Using the database to enter or manage data requires knowledge of a few salient terms. In the AKN system:

- **Citizen Scientist:** The user has permission to access the Citizen Scientist and the Biologist applications. However, the user is only sent an explicit link to the Citizen Scientists application. The Citizen Scientists application is intended for use by volunteers to enter and proof data that they (or others) have collected. It is only for specific projects that employ area search protocols and where the data entry has been designed to be more constrained and simplified.
- **Biologist**: The user has permission to access the Biologists (and the Citizen Scientist) application. However, the user is only sent an explicit link to the Biologists application. The Biologists application is intended for use by researchers/interns to enter and proof data that they (or others) have collected. The projects represented within this application employ point count, area search and rail point count protocols.
- Analyst: The user has permission to access the Analysts application. The user is sent an email containing a link to the Analysts application. The Analysts application gives the user read-only access to analyzing project data. The user can download data from the warehouse for further analysis on their local machines.
- **Project Leader**: The user has permission to access the Biologist, Citizen Scientist, Analyst and the Project Leader applications. The user is sent an email containing links to all of the applications. The Project Leader application allows the user all management activities for project data, including creating and managing sampling units, assigning protocols used, and data access level decisions. They decide who gets access to their project(s) and what role they play. They can download all of their project data. Users assigned this role are able to create and manage sampling units, download and review field observations and all metadata about the project, grant other users access to the project as researchers or additional project

leaders, select the protocol(s) used, enter field observations, and set sharing levels.

• **Researcher:** A Researcher is how individuals are identified in a Project. For your project you would give a Researcher access rights to your Project. Users assigned this role are able to enter and review field observations, and download data.

Cooperators will submit unit boundaries shortly after gaining access to the database. The regional contacts or survey coordinator will work with cooperators on the naming of units and uploading GIS files of the survey units into the online database.

Proof and Archive the Data Sheets

Data entry errors influence the quality and utility of collected data. However, many of these types of errors can be controlled through data organization, checking and entry techniques. The following steps should be used to reduce errors in the data base and make original data recording materials available for future reference, back-up or checking.

- 1. Organize data sheets by survey unit to facilitate data upload. Proofread the data sheets ensuring that they have been filled out completely. If more than one person is collecting data, have someone that did not collect these particular data conduct the review.
- 2. Mark corrections on copied data sheets with red pen. Any corrected errors, or changes made by the data "proofer" (that are entered differently into the database than they appear on the data sheet) should be circled, initialed, and corrected. Notes should be written in the margins or in the comments section whenever necessary to document the reason for the corrections.
- 3. Once reviewed and corrected, scan the data sheets to have a digital archive. If a portable computer or personal digital assistant (PDA) is used, export the file that is uploaded into AKN, or as a csv file, to an appropriate digital storage. The process and location of this back-up information should be specified in a site-specific survey protocol.

4. After data entry into AKN, archive the scanned data sheets or exported PDA file. If the data are associated with a survey report, include these data as an Appendix to the report and archive the report in ServCat. The original completed data forms or PDA file can also be stored on site in a safe place, preferably in a designated fireproof safe or cabinet.

Enter the Data

Prepare for data entry:

- 1. Organize your data and guidance materials to aid data entry process.
- 2. A data form will help verify that you have all the right data entry fields for your project.
- 3. A description or knowledge of the methods used for this survey.
- 4. The name and address of the Survey Coordinator (the person who can be contacted regarding questions about these data, once entered).

Enter the data into the AKN database:

- 1. Navigate through the IWMM website (<u>http://iwmmprogram.org/protocols-data-forms/</u>) to the IWMM data management portal and log in to the data entry website using your email address and password.
- 2. After logging into the portal, select either bird survey, vegetation survey, or management action options under the data entry tab on the upper right of the home page screen.
- 3. Step-by-step instructions for data entry are available at: <u>http://iwmmprogram.org/protocols-data-forms/</u>.

4.

Verify and Validate

In general, AKN uses a tiered set of levels for indicating the data validation and access (Table SOP-7.1). Once the person entering data is finished, he or she needs to notify the "Project Leader" responsible for AKN data management (for the Refuge System, this is typically the survey coordinator) that data are ready to be proofed in the database. The Project Leader will:

- 1. Ensure all datasheets have been initialed.
- 2. Compare the data sheets with the data records in the database and if there are no errors, then change the status of the records to the next appropriate level (see the user's manual for the database).
- 3. Discuss any questionable data entry or field observer errors with the Data Entry Technician and/or Field Observer. If there are errors, the Project Leader will open up the records for

editing.

- 4. After all errors are satisfactorily resolved in the database, set the status back. Then the Project Leader will change the status of the records in the database from clean to appropriate access level.
- 5. IWMM has a data sharing policy that governs how data collected by participants are used and shared, available at

http://www.iwmmprogram.org/documents/IWMM_data_sharing_use_policy.pdf. IWMM recommends that once data are cleaned, cooperators set access to at least a level 2 so data can be available to IWMM science staff for use in analyses and data summaries.

Table SOP-7.1. The following are the Avian Knowledge Network's data access levels. These are applicable to each and every record in the network individually, so that different records may have different access levels. Data published using one of the five Levels below are stored in the AKN's primary data warehouses. The warehouses serve as the primary archives of all AKN data. No applications connect directly to the warehouses, but data from a warehouse are ported to separate data views created specifically to optimize the performance of an application that connects to it. Data owners can specify how their data can be used in the data views, with the option that their data are not exposed to the public at all.

Validation / Access Code ¹	Definition and Description
Level 1	Some information is made available to others than project members about the data. Specifically, only metadata about the datasets are made available to any application or service.
Level 2	Same as Level 1 with the following addition: data can be used in certain publicly available, predefined visualizations (i.e. maps and graphs), but direct access to the data is restricted.
Level 3	Data are used in publicly available, predefined visualizations (i.e. maps and graphs). Additionally, the complete BMDE data set is available upon request, subject to approval from the original data provider.
Level 4	Data can be used in publicly available, predefined visualizations (i.e. maps and graphs) and also may be available upon request. Additionally, some components of the data are made available to existing bioinformatic efforts (GBIF and ORNIS). These bioinformatic efforts only provide the data "marked-up" to Darwin Core, used to describe primary occurrence (location, date and species for example).
Level 5	Data are used in publicly available, predefined visualizations (i.e. maps and graphs) and are available to existing bioinformatic efforts. Additionally, the complete BMDE data set is available for download directly via download tools.
Raw	Data were input but no further review or processing has taken place. Data are available for project use only and not to the AKN.
Clean	Data were input and reviewed by member(s) of the project team. Data are available for project use only and not to the AKN.
Approved	Data were reviewed by project management, but no indication has been made of AKN data sharing levels. Data are available for project use only and not to the AKN.
Restricted	Same as APPROVED and not distributed and shared to other AKN partners automatically. All access to data must come through requests to the contributing institution project management.

¹ Some nodes have extended levels to help users manage the entire data lifecycle (Raw, Clean, Approved, Restricted).

Data Maintenance and Archiving

AKN is responsible for performing periodic backups of all data residing in the database. Editing of data that has already been "verified" in the database must be made in the AKN database by the Project Leader via the interface. Contact IWMM staff for assistance if numerous edits are needed. A detailed log identifying any changes to records already verified as correct and dates of the change must be maintained by the Survey Coordinator and stored along with the archived datasets in the annual reports stored in ServCat.

Supplemental Materials SM 1: AOU Species Codes in Family Order.

AOU Species Cod	es in Family Order	
common name	code*	species
Black-bellied Whistling-Duck	BBWD	Dendrocygna autumnalis
Fulvous Whistling-Duck	FUWD	Dendrocygna bicolor
Greater White-fronted Goose	GWFG	Anser albifrons
Snow Goose (all morphs)	SNGO	Anser caerulescens
Blue Goose	BLGO	Anser caerulescens
Ross's Goose	ROGO	Anser rossii
Unidentified Snow, Blue or Ross's Goose	RSGO	~
Brant	BRAN	Branta bernicla
Cackling Goose	CACG	Branta hutchinsii
Canada Goose	CANG	Branta canadensis
Mute Swan	MUSW	Cygnus olor
Trumpeter Swan	TRUS	Cygnus buccinator
Tundra Swan	TUSW	Cygnus columbianus
Wood Duck	WODU	Aix sponsa
Gadwall	GADW	Mareca strepera
Eurasian Wigeon	EUWI	, Mareca penelope
American Wigeon	AMWI	Mareca americana
American Black Duck	ABDU	Anas rubripes
Mallard	MALL	Anas platyrhynchos
Mottled Duck	MODU	Anas fulvigula
Blue-winged Teal	BWTE	Spatula discors
Cinnamon Teal	CITE	Spatula cyanoptera
Unidentified Cinnamon or Blue-winged Teal	CBTE	~
Northern Shoveler	NSHO	Spatula clypeata
Northern Pintail	NOPI	Anas acuta
Green-winged Teal	GWTE	Anas crecca
Canvasback	CANV	Aythya valisineria
Redhead	REDH	Aythya americana
Ring-necked Duck	RNDU	Aythya collaris
Greater Scaup	GRSC	Aythya marila
Lesser Scaup	LESC	Aythya affinis
Common Eider	COEI	Somateria mollissima
Harlequin Duck	HADU	Histrionicus histrionicus
Surf Scoter	SUSC	Melanitta perspicillata
White-winged Scoter	WWSC	Melanitta fusca
Black Scoter	BLSC	Melanitta americana
Long-tailed Duck	LTDU	Clangula hyemalis
Bufflehead	BUFF	Bucephala albeola
Common Goldeneye	COGO	Bucephala clangula
Barrow's Goldeneye	BAGO	Bucephala islandica
Hooded Merganser	HOME	Lophodytes cucullatus
Common Merganser	COME	Mergus merganser
Red-breasted Merganser	RBME	Mergus serrator
Ruddy Duck	RUDU	Oxyura jamaicensis
Unidentified Goose	UNGO	~
Unidentified Swan	UNGO	~
Unidentified Teal	UNTE	Anas (sp)

AOU Species Codes	n Family Order	
common name	code*	species
Unidentified Dabbling Duck	UDAD	~
Unidentified Diving Duck	UDID	~
Unidentified Scaup	UNSC	~
Unidentified Goldeneye	UNGL	~
Unidentified Duck	UNDU	Anatinae (gen, sp)
Unidentified Waterfowl	UNWF	~
Pied-billed Grebe	PBGR	Podilymbus podiceps
Horned Grebe	HOGR	Podiceps auritus
Red-necked Grebe	RNGR	Podiceps grisegena
Eared Grebe	EAGR	Podiceps nigricollis
Western Grebe	WEGR	Aechmophorus occidentalis
Unidentified Clark's or Western Grebe	WCGR	~
Clark's Grebe	CLGR	Aechmophorus clarkii
Yellow Rail	YERA	Coturnicops noveboracensis
Black Rail	BLRA	Laterallus jamaicensis
Ridgway's Rail	RIRA	Rallus obsoletus
Clapper Rail	CLRA	Rallus crepitans
King Rail	KIRA	Rallus elegans
Virginia Rail	VIRA	Rallus limicola
Sora	SORA	Porzana carolina
Purple Gallinule	PUGA	Porphyrio martinicus
Common Gallinule	COGA	Gallinula galeata
American Coot	AMCO	Fulica americana
Limpkin	LIMP	Aramus guarauna
Sandhill Crane	SACR	Antigone canadensis
Whooping Crane	WHCR	Grus americana
Black-necked Stilt	BNST	Himantopus mexicanus
American Avocet	AMAV	Recurvirostra americana
American Oystercatcher	AMOY	Haematopus palliatus
Black Oystercatcher	BLOY	Haematopus bachmani
Black-bellied Plover	BBPL	Pluvialis squatarola
American Golden-Plover	AMGP	Pluvialis dominica
Unidentified American or Pacific ("Lesser") Golden Plover	LGPL	~
Pacific Golden-Plover	PAGP	Pluvialis fulva
Snowy Plover	SNPL	Charadrius nivosus
Wilson's Plover	WIPL	Charadrius wilsonia
Semipalmated Plover	SEPL	Charadrius semipalmatus
Piping Plover	PIPL	Charadrius melodus
Killdeer	KILL	Charadrius vociferus
Mountain Plover	MOPL	Charadrius montanus
Upland Sandpiper	UPSA	Bartramia longicauda
Whimbrel	WHIM	Numenius phaeopus
Long-billed Curlew	LBCU	Numenius americanus
Hudsonian Godwit	HUGO	Limosa haemastica
Marbled Godwit	MAGO	Limosa fedoa
Ruddy Turnstone	RUTU	Arenaria interpres
Black Turnstone	BLTU	Arenaria melanocephala
Red Knot	REKN	Calidris canutus
Surfbird	SURF	Calidris virgata
Stilt Sandpiper	STSA	Calidris himantopus

AOU Species Code	s in Family Order	
common name	code*	species
Sanderling	SAND	Calidris alba
Dunlin	DUNL	Calidris alpina
Rock Sandpiper	ROSA	Calidris ptilocnemis
Purple Sandpiper	PUSA	Calidris maritima
Baird's Sandpiper	BASA	Calidris bairdii
Least Sandpiper	LESA	Calidris minutilla
White-rumped Sandpiper	WRSA	Calidris fuscicollis
Buff-breasted Sandpiper	BBSA	Calidris subruficollis
Pectoral Sandpiper	PESA	Calidris melanotos
Semipalmated Sandpiper	SESA	Calidris pusilla
Western Sandpiper	WESA	Calidris mauri
Short-billed Dowitcher	SBDO	Limnodromus griseus
Long-billed Dowitcher	LBDO	Limnodromus scolopaceus
Wilson's Snipe	WISN	Gallinago delicata
Spotted Sandpiper	SPSA	Actitis macularius
Solitary Sandpiper	SOSA	Tringa solitaria
Wandering Tattler	WATA	Tringa incana
Greater Yellowlegs	GRYE	Tringa melanoleuca
Willet	WILL	Tringa semipalmata
Lesser Yellowlegs	LEYE	Tringa flavipes
Wilson's Phalarope	WIPH	Phalaropus tricolor
Red-necked Phalarope	RNPH	Phalaropus lobatus
Red Phalarope	REPH	Phalaropus fulicarius
Unidentified Ringed Plover, Sandpiper or Stint	PEEP	~
Unidentified Godwit	UNGD	~
Unidentified Dowitcher	UNDO	Limnodromus sp.
Unidentified Yellowlegs	UNYE	~
Unidentified Phalarope	XPHL	~
Unidentified Shorebird	UNSH	~
Bonaparte's Gull	BOGU	Chroicocephalus philadelphia
Laughing Gull	LAGU	Leucophaeus atricilla
Franklin's Gull	FRGU	Leucophaeus pipixcan
Heerman's Gull	HEEG	Larus heermanni
Mew Gull	MEGU	Larus canus
Ring-billed Gull	RBGU	Larus delawarensis
Western Gull	WEGU	Larus occidentalis
Unidentified Western / Glaucous-winged Gull	WGGU	~
Yellow-footed Gull	YFGU	Larus livens
California Gull	CAGU	Larus californicus
Herring Gull	HERG	Larus argentatus
Thayer's Gull	THGU	Larus gaucoides thayeri
Iceland Gull	ICGU	Larus glaucoides
Unidentified Iceland / Thayer's Gull	ITGU	~
Lesser Black-backed Gull	LBBG	Larus fuscus
Glaucous-winged Gull	GWGU	Larus glaucescens
Glaucous Gull	GLGU	Larus hyperboreus
Great Black-backed Gull	GBBG	Larus marinus
Unidentified Gull (Laridae spp)	UNGU	~
Unidentified Larus Gull (Laridae spp)	UNLG	Larus (sp)
Unidentified Small Gull	UNSG	~

AOU Species Coo	des in Family Order	
common name	code*	species
Unidentified Large Gull	XLGU	~
Least Tern	LETE	Sternula antillarum
Gull-billed Tern	GBTE	Gelochelidon nilotica
Caspian Tern	CATE	Hydroprogne caspia
Black Tern	BLTE	Chlidonias niger
Common Tern	COTE	Sterna hirundo
Forster's Tern	FOTE	Sterna forsteri
Royal Tern	ROYT	Thalasseus maximus
Sandwich Tern	SATE	Thalasseus sandvicensis
Elegant Tern	ELTE	Thalasseus elegans
Black Skimmer	BLSK	Rynchops niger
Unidentified Large Tern	UNLT	~
Unidentified Small Tern (Sterna spp)	UNST	~
Unidentified Tern (Sterna spp)	UNTN	~
Red-throated Loon	RTLO	Gavia stellata
Pacific Loon	PALO	Gavia pacifica
Common Loon	COLO	Gavia immer
Unidentified Loon	UNLO	~
Wood Stork	WOST	Mycteria americana
Brandt's Cormorant	BRAC	Phalacrocorax penicillatus
Neotropic Cormorant	NECO	Phalacrocorax brasilianus
Double-crested Cormorant	DCCO	Phalacrocorax auritus
Great Cormorant	GRCO	Phalacrocorax carbo
Pelagic Cormorant	PECO	Phalacrocorax pelagicus
Unidentified Cormorant	XXCO	~
Anhinga	ANHI	Anhinga anhinga
American White Pelican	AWPE	Pelecanus erythrorhynchos
Brown Pelican	BRPE	Pelecanus occidentalis
American Bittern	AMBI	Botaurus lentiginosus
Least Bittern	LEBI	Ixobrychus exilis
Great Blue Heron	GBHE	Ardea herodias
Great Egret	GREG	Ardea alba
Snowy Egret	SNEG	Egretta thula
Little Blue Heron	LBHE	Egretta caerulea
Tricolored Heron	TRHE	Egretta tricolor
Reddish Egret	REEG	Egretta rufescens
Cattle Egret	CAEG	Bubulcus ibis
Green Heron	GRHE	Butorides virescens
Unidentified Heron	UNHE	~
Black-crowned Night-Heron	BCNH	Nycticorax nycticorax
Yellow-crowned Night-Heron	YCNH	Nyctanassa violacea
Unidentified Night-heron	UNNH	~
White Ibis	WHIB	Eudocimus albus
Glossy Ibis	GLIB	Plegadis falcinellus
White-faced Ibis	WFIB	Plegadis chihi
Unidientified Glossy/White-faced Ibis	XPLE	~
Roseate Spoonbill	ROSP	Platalea ajaja
* codes not included in the		

SM 2: AOU Species Codes in Alphabetical Order

AOU Species Codes in Alphabetical Order		
common name	code*	species
American Avocet	AMAV	Recurvirostra americana
American Bittern	AMBI	Botaurus lentiginosus
American Black Duck	ABDU	Anas rubripes
American Coot	AMCO	Fulica americana
American Golden-Plover	AMGP	Pluvialis dominica
American Oystercatcher	AMOY	Haematopus palliatus
American White Pelican	AWPE	Pelecanus erythrorhynchos
American Wigeon	AMWI	Mareca americana
Anhinga	ANHI	Anhinga anhinga
Baird's Sandpiper	BASA	Calidris bairdii
Barrow's Goldeneye	BAGO	Bucephala islandica
Black Oystercatcher	BLOY	Haematopus bachmani
Black Rail	BLRA	Laterallus jamaicensis
Black Scoter	BLSC	Melanitta americana
Black Skimmer	BLSK	Rynchops niger
Black Tern	BLTE	Chlidonias niger
Black Turnstone	BLTU	Arenaria melanocephala
Black-bellied Plover	BBPL	Pluvialis squatarola
Black-bellied Whistling-Duck	BBWD	Dendrocygna autumnalis
Black-crowned Night-Heron	BCNH	Nycticorax nycticorax
Black-necked Stilt	BNST	Himantopus mexicanus
Blue Goose	BLGO	Anser caerulescens
Blue-winged Teal	BWTE	Spatula discors
Bonaparte's Gull	BOGU	Chroicocephalus philadelphia
Brandt's Cormorant	BRAC	Phalacrocorax penicillatus
Brant	BRAN	Branta bernicla
Brown Pelican	BRPE	Pelecanus occidentalis
Buff-breasted Sandpiper	BBSA	Calidris subruficollis
Bufflehead	BUFF	Bucephala albeola
Cackling Goose	CACG	Branta hutchinsii
California Gull	CAGU	Larus californicus
Canada Goose	CANG	Branta canadensis
Canvasback	CANV	Aythya valisineria
Caspian Tern	CATE	Hydroprogne caspia
Cattle Egret	CAEG	Bubulcus ibis
Cinnamon Teal	CITE	Spatula cyanoptera
Clapper Rail	CLRA	Rallus crepitans
Clark's Grebe	CLGR	Aechmophorus clarkii
Common Eider	COEI	Somateria mollissima
Common Gallinule	COGA	Gallinula galeata
Common Goldeneye	COGO	Bucephala clangula
Common Loon	COLO	Gavia immer
Common Merganser	COME	Mergus merganser
Common Tern	COTE	Sterna hirundo
Double-crested Cormorant	DCCO	Phalacrocorax auritus
Dunlin	DUNL	Calidris alpina

AOU Species Codes in Alphabetical Order			
common name	code*	species	
Eared Grebe	EAGR	Podiceps nigricollis	
Elegant Tern	ELTE	Thalasseus elegans	
Eurasian Wigeon	EUWI	Mareca penelope	
Forster's Tern	FOTE	Sterna forsteri	
Franklin's Gull	FRGU	Leucophaeus pipixcan	
Fulvous Whistling-Duck	FUWD	Dendrocygna bicolor	
Gadwall	GADW	Mareca strepera	
Glaucous Gull	GLGU	Larus hyperboreus	
Glaucous-winged Gull	GWGU	Larus glaucescens	
Glossy Ibis	GLIB	Plegadis falcinellus	
Great Black-backed Gull	GBBG	Larus marinus	
Great Blue Heron	GBHE	Ardea herodias	
Great Cormorant	GRCO	Phalacrocorax carbo	
Great Egret	GREG	Ardea alba	
Greater Scaup	GRSC	Aythya marila	
Greater White-fronted Goose	GWFG	Anser albifrons	
Greater Yellowlegs	GRYE	Tringa melanoleuca	
Green Heron	GRHE	Butorides virescens	
Green-winged Teal	GWTE	Anas crecca	
Gull-billed Tern	GBTE	Gelochelidon nilotica	
Harlequin Duck	HADU	<i>Histrionicus histrionicus</i>	
Heerman's Gull	HEEG	Larus heermanni	
Herring Gull	HERG	Larus argentatus	
Hooded Merganser	HOME	Lophodytes cucullatus	
Horned Grebe	HOGR	Podiceps auritus	
Hudsonian Godwit	HUGO	Limosa haemastica	
Iceland Gull	ICGU	Larus glaucoides	
Killdeer	KILL	Charadrius vociferus	
King Rail	KIRA	Rallus elegans	
Laughing Gull	LAGU	Leucophaeus atricilla	
Least Bittern	LEBI	Ixobrychus exilis	
Least Sandpiper	LESA	Calidris minutilla	
Least Tern	LETE	Sternula antillarum	
Lesser Black-backed Gull	LBBG	Larus fuscus	
Lesser Scaup	LESC	Aythya affinis	
Lesser Yellowlegs	LEYE	Tringa flavipes	
Limpkin	LIMP	Aramus guarauna	
Little Blue Heron	LBHE	Egretta caerulea	
Long-billed Curlew	LBCU	Numenius americanus	
Long-billed Dowitcher	LBDO	Limnodromus scolopaceus	
Long-tailed Duck	LTDU	Clangula hyemalis	
Mallard	MALL	Anas platyrhynchos	
Marbled Godwit	MAGO	Limosa fedoa	
Mew Gull	MAGO	Liniosa jeuoa Larus canus	
Mottled Duck	MODU	Anas fulvigula	
Mountain Plover	MODU	Charadrius montanus	
Mute Swan	MUSW	Characteris montantas Cygnus olor	
	NECO	Phalacrocorax brasilianus	
Neotropic Cormorant			
Northern Pintail	NOPI	Anas acuta	
Northern Shoveler	NSHO	Spatula clypeata	

AOU Species Codes in Alphabetical Order				
common name	code*	species		
Pacific Golden-Plover	PAGP	Pluvialis fulva		
Pacific Loon	PALO	Gavia pacifica		
Pectoral Sandpiper	PESA	Calidris melanotos		
Pelagic Cormorant	PECO	Phalacrocorax pelagicus		
Pied-billed Grebe	PBGR	Podilymbus podiceps		
Piping Plover	PIPL	Charadrius melodus		
Purple Gallinule	PUGA	Porphyrio martinicus		
Purple Sandpiper	PUSA	Calidris maritima		
Red Knot	REKN	Calidris canutus		
Red Phalarope	REPH	Phalaropus fulicarius		
Red-breasted Merganser	RBME	Mergus serrator		
Reddish Egret	REEG	Egretta rufescens		
Redhead	REDH	Aythya americana		
Red-necked Grebe	RNGR	Podiceps grisegena		
Red-necked Phalarope	RNPH	Phalaropus lobatus		
Red-throated Loon	RTLO	Gavia stellata		
Ridgway's Rail	RIRA	Rallus obsoletus		
Ring-billed Gull	RBGU	Larus delawarensis		
Ring-necked Duck	RNDU	Aythya collaris		
Rock Sandpiper	ROSA	Calidris ptilocnemis		
Roseate Spoonbill	ROSP	Platalea ajaja		
Ross's Goose	ROGO			
		Anser rossii		
Royal Tern	ROYT	Thalasseus maximus		
Ruddy Duck	RUDU	Oxyura jamaicensis		
Ruddy Turnstone	RUTU	Arenaria interpres		
Sanderling	SAND	Calidris alba		
Sandhill Crane	SACR	Antigone canadensis		
Sandwich Tern	SATE	Thalasseus sandvicensis		
Semipalmated Plover	SEPL	Charadrius semipalmatus		
Semipalmated Sandpiper	SESA	Calidris pusilla		
Short-billed Dowitcher	SBDO	Limnodromus griseus		
Snow Goose (all morphs)	SNGO	Anser caerulescens		
Snowy Egret	SNEG	Egretta thula		
Snowy Plover	SNPL	Charadrius nivosus		
Solitary Sandpiper	SOSA	Tringa solitaria		
Sora	SORA	Porzana carolina		
Spotted Sandpiper	SPSA	Actitis macularius		
Stilt Sandpiper	STSA	Calidris himantopus		
Surf Scoter	SUSC	Melanitta perspicillata		
Surfbird	SURF	Calidris virgata		
Thayer's Gull	THGU	Larus gaucoides thayeri		
Tricolored Heron	TRHE	Egretta tricolor		
Trumpeter Swan	TRUS	Cygnus buccinator		
Tundra Swan	TUSW	Cygnus columbianus		
Unidentified Cinammon or Blue-winged Teal	CBTE	~		
Unidentified American or Pacific ("Lesser") Golden Plover	LGPL	~		
Unidentified Clark's or Western Grebe	WCGR	~		
Unidentified Cormorant	XXCO	~		
Unidentified Dabbling Duck	UDAD	~		
Unidentified Diving Duck	UDID	~		

AOU Species Codes in Alphabetical Order			
common name	code*	species	
Unidentified Dowitcher	UNDO	Limnodromus sp.	
Unidentified Duck	UNDU	Anatinae (gen, sp)	
Unidentified Godwit	UNGD	~	
Unidentified Goldeneye	UNGL	~	
Unidentified Goose	UNGO	~	
Unidentified Gull (Laridae spp)	UNGU	~	
Unidentified Heron	UNHE	~	
Unidentified Iceland or Thayer's Gull	ITGU	~	
Unidentified Large Gull	XLGU	~	
Unidentified Large Tern	UNLT	~	
Unidentified Larus Gull	UNLG	Larus (sp)	
Unidentified Loon	UNLO	~	
Unidentified Night-heron	UNNH	~	
Unidentified Phalarope	XPHL	~	
Unidentified Ringed Plover, Sandpiper or Stint	PEEP	~	
Unidentified Scaup	UNSC	~	
Unidentified Shorebird		~	
	UNSH	~	
Unidentified Small Gull	UNSG	~	
Unidentified Small Tern (Sterna spp)	UNST	~	
Unidentified Snow, Blue or Ross's Goose	RSGO		
Unidentified Swan	UNCY	~	
Unidentified Teal	UNTE	Anas (sp)	
Unidentified Tern (Sterna spp)	UNTN	~	
Unidentified Waterfowl	UNWF	~	
Unidentified Western / Glaucous-winged Gull	WGGU	~	
Unidentified Yellowlegs	UNYE	~	
Unidientified Glossy/White-faced Ibis	XPLE	~	
Upland Sandpiper	UPSA	Bartramia longicauda	
Virginia Rail	VIRA	Rallus limicola	
Wandering Tattler	WATA	Tringa incana	
Western Grebe	WEGR	Aechmophorus occidentalis	
Western Gull	WEGU	Larus occidentalis	
Western Sandpiper	WESA	Calidris mauri	
Whimbrel	WHIM	Numenius phaeopus	
White Ibis	WHIB	Eudocimus albus	
White-faced Ibis	WFIB	Plegadis chihi	
White-rumped Sandpiper	WRSA	Calidris fuscicollis	
White-winged Scoter	WWSC	Melanitta fusca	
Whooping Crane	WHCR	Grus americana	
Willet	WILL	Tringa semipalmata	
Wilson's Phalarope	WIPH	Phalaropus tricolor	
Wilson's Plover	WIPL	Charadrius wilsonia	
Wilson's Snipe	WISN	Gallinago delicata	
Wood Duck	WODU	Aix sponsa	
Wood Stork	WODO	Mycteria americana	
	YERA	Coturnicops noveboracensis	
Yellow Rail			
Yellow-crowned Night-Heron	VCNH	Nyctanassa violassa	
Yellow Rail Yellow-crowned Night-Heron Yellow-footed Gull	YCNH YFGU	Nyctanassa violacea Larus livens	

SM 6: Seed Head Assessment Guide for Selected Wetland Plants with Food Value to Waterfowl

Seed head assessments for the purposes of the IWMM habitat protocol will consist of assigning seed head size and density categories to selected emergent plant species based on the methodology developed by Naylor et al. 2005. Naylor et al. developed methods to evaluate percent cover and seed-head characteristics of 6 common moist-soil plant types and used these data to create an index of seed production.

The species selected for this guide originated from pilot IWMM vegetation surveys (Fall 2010 through Spring 2013). Initially, a candidate list included all co-dominant plant species listed on pilot vegetation surveys. We narrowed this list by applying two filters: (1) the species must have a high food value to waterfowl (refer to table SM-6.1) and (2) the species must be listed as a co- dominant on at least 50 vegetation surveys from the pilot survey seasons. We acknowledge that this guide will not be comprehensive, so we intend this guide to be a living document. Additional species will be added based on suggestions from IWMM cooperators.

Average seed head size for selected plant species was calculated using technical drawings for each species, knowledge of natural seed head variability for selected species across the IWMM study area, and reviews of the following references: USDA National PLANT Database, Common Marsh, Underwater and Floating-leaved Plants of the United States and Canada (Hotchkiss 1972), Food of Game Ducks in the United States and Canada (Martin and Uhler 1939), and A Manual of Marsh and Aquatic Vascular Plants of North Carolina with Habitat Data (Beal 1977).

How to Use this Guide

Seed head size___Seed head size categorization was plant-type specific and based on the deviation of the average size of inflorescences (for each plant species) within a wetland from that of the observed average size throughout a managed wetland (Naylor et al. 2005). For all the selected species in this guide, an average seed head size by species is indicated by a blue "arrow" to allow you to quantitatively assess seed head size as average, smaller than average, or larger than average (see below).

For example, in the field, Pennsylvania smartweed (*Polygonum pensylvanicum*) would be compared to its average size of seed head size for this species. If the seed head size is consistent with the size displayed by the blue arrow, assign it to the "average" category. If the seed head size is greater than average indicated by the blue arrow, assign it to the "large seed" category. Finally, if the seed head size is below the average seed head size as indicated by the blue arrow, assign it to the "Not Assessed" category for species that have deteriorated seed heads at the time of assessment or are too difficult to assess seed heads (e.g. damaged).

<u>NOTE</u>: Refer to the red arrow on individual plant photos or line drawings to maintain consistency when measuring actual seed heads in the field.

Types of inflorescence (seed heads)—There are three forms of seed heads, but for the purposes of this guide all three forms of seed heads will be treated collectively as inflorescences.



Seed head density—Seed head density should be assigned to ordinal categories by visually assessing the relative abundance of seed heads within a patch of each plant species. In the field, visually assess seed head density based on two considerations: (1) the density of stems for a species; (2) the proportion of a species' stems with seed heads.

Conduct a visual assessment in the field of seed head density by assigning a seed head density category to a species by ordinal categories of high, moderate, or low using the pictorial representation of these ordinal categories below.

Stem Density—High stem density is assigned to areas with little bare ground, open water, or other plant species and a high proportion of seed heads to stems. Low seed head density is characterized by large areas of bare ground, open water, or other plant species and a low proportion of seed heads to plant stems for the species being assessed. Moderate stem densities fall between these two extremes.



High seed head density



Moderate seed head density



Low seed head density







Seed Head Size Assessment Guide for Selected Wetland Plants



Barnyardgrass or wild millet (Echinochloa crus-galli)

Measure 1 - 2 individual inflorescences from 3-5 separate plants; calculate average for seed head size. Coast cockspur grass or Walter's millet (Echinochloa walteri)







Martin and Uhler

6-10" AVERAGE



Less than 6 inches (SMALL)

Greater than 10 inches (LARGE)

□ Measure 1-2 individual inflorescences (for this species it would include the entire seed head cluster) from the top to the bottom of the seed head cluster from 3-5 separate plants; calculate average for seed head size.

Rice Cutgrass (Leersia oryzoides)



5-8" AVERAGE Less than 5 inches (SMALL)

Greater than 8 inches (LARGE)

□ Measure 1-2 individual inflorescences from 3-5 separate plants; calculate average for seed head size.

Fall panicgrass (*Panicum dichotomiflorum*)



Less than 5 inches (SMALL)

Greater than 8 inches (LARGE)

□ Measure 1-2 individual inflorescences (for this species it would include the entire seed head cluster) from the top to the bottom of the seed head cluster from 3-5 separate plants; calculate average for seed head size.

Curlytop knotweed (*Polygonum lapathifolium*)



□ Measure 1-2 individual inflorescences from 3-5 separate plants; calculate average for seed head size.

Pennsylvania smartweed, pinkweed, big seeded smartweed (Polygonum pensylvanicum)



http://plants.usda.gov



ov 1-2"AVERAGE

Less than 1 inch (SMALL)

Greater than 2 inches (LARGE)

□ Measure 1-2 individual inflorescences from 3-5 separate plants; calculate average for seed head size.
Foxtail (Setaria spp.)



http://plants.usda.gov

Martin and

Uhler Giant Foxtail *S. Faberi* 2-4" AVERAGE

Less than 1.75 inches (SMALL)

Greater than 4 inches (LARGE)

Green & yellow Foxtail S. pumila & S. viridis 1-2" AVERAGE

□ Measure 1-2 individual inflorescences from 3-5 separate plants; calculate average for seed head size.

Beggarticks (Bidens spp.)



http://plants.usda.gov

AVERAGE

Less than 0.375 inches (SMALL)

Greater than 0.375 inches (LARGE)

□ Measure the width of 1-2 seed heads (excluding the flower petals) from 3-5 separate plants; calculate average for seed head size.

Yellow Nutsedge (Cyperus esculentus)



ov 2-4" AVERAGE

Less than 2 inches (SMALL)

Greater than 4 inches (LARGE)

□ Measure 1-2 individual spikes of the inflorescence (for this species it would include the entire seed head cluster) from the top to the bottom of the seed head cluster from 3-5 separate plants; calculate average for seed head size.

Amazon sprangletop (*Leptochloa panicoides*)



http://courses.missouristate.edu/pbtrewatha/amazon_sprangletop.htm

6-8" AVERAGE



□ Measure 1-2 individual inflorescences (for this species it would include the entire seed head cluster) from the top to the bottom of the seed head cluster from 3-5 separate plants; calculate average for seed head size.

Swamp Timothy, Swamp Pricklegrass (Crypsis schoenoides (L.) Lam.)



http://plants.usda.gov/

1"-1.5" (2.5-4 cm) AVERAGE



Less than 1.0 inches (SMALL)

Greater than 1.5 inches (LARGE)

□ Measure 1-2 individual inflorescences from 3-5 separate plants; calculate average for seed head size.

Goosefoot, Lambsquarters (Chenopodium album)



4" AVERAGE



Less than 2 inches (SMALL)

Greater than 4 inches (LARGE)

□ Measure 1-2 individual spikes from 3-5 separate plants; calculate average for seed head size.

Redroot flatsedge (*Cyperus erythrorhizos*)



Less than 4 inches (SMALL)



□ Measure 1-2 individual spikes of inflorescence from 3-5 separate plants; calculate average for seed head size.

References

- Beal, EO. 1985. A manual of marsh and aquatic vascular plants of North Carolina with habitat data.. The North Carolina Agricultural Research Service, Raleigh, North Carolina. Technical Bulletin 247.
- Hotchkiss N. 1972. Common marsh plants of the United States and Canada. New York, New York. Dover Publications.
- Martin AC, Uhler FM. 1939. Food of game ducks in the United States and Canada. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. Technical Bulletin No. 634.
- Naylor LW, Eadie JM, Smith WD, Eichholz M, Gray MJ. 2005. A simple method to predict seed yield in moist-soil habitats. Wildlife Society Bulletin 33:1335–1341.

USDA, NRCS. 2014. The PLANTS Database. Available: <u>http://plants.usda.gov</u>. (April 2014). National Plant Data Team, Greensboro, North

Plant species	No. of Veg. surveys in which spp. was recorded as a co- dominant	Foo d valu e	Parts Consumed	Included in seed head size assessment guide
Acer rubrum	50	L	seed	
Acer saccharinum	19	L	seed	
Amaranthus spp.	227	Μ	seed	
Ambrosia artemisiifolia	102	L	seed	
Ammannia spp.	14	L	seed	
Bacopa spp.	57	Н	stem/leaves	
Bidens spp.	240	Н	seed	X*
Brasenia schreberi	17	L	seed	
Carex lacustris	11	Μ	seed	
Carex spp.	130	М	seed	
Cephalanthus occidentalis	239	L	seed	
Chara spp.	11	М	stem/leaves	
Cyperus erythrorhizos	45	Н	seed	X**
Cyperus esculentus	83	Н	seed/tuber	X*
<i>Cyperus</i> spp.	60	Н	seed	X ¹
<i>Digitaria</i> spp.	39	L	seed	
Distichlis spicata	106	L	seed	
Echinochloa crus-galli	655	Н	seed	X*
Echinochloa esculenta	28	Н	seed	
Echinochloa muricata	13	Н	seed	
Echinochloa spp.	23	Н	seed	
Echinochloa walteri	58	Н	seed	X*
Eleocharis parvula	63	Н	seed	
Eleocharis quadrangulata	15	Н	seed	
Eleocharis spp.	249	Н	seed	X ¹

Eragrostis spp.	12	М	seed	
Fagopyrum esculentum	17	L	seed	
Glycine max	86	Н	seed	
Juncus spp.	101	L	seed	
Lachnanthes caroliniana	11	Н	seed	
Leersia oryzoides	153	Н	seed/roots	Х*
Lemna spp.	133	М	leaves	
Leptochloa fascicularis	47	Н	seed	
Leptochloa panicoides	11	Н	seed	X**
Ludwigia palustris	10	L	seed	
Ludwigia spp.	159	L	seed	
<i>Myriophyllum</i> spp.	22	L	stem/leaves	
Najas guadalupensis	15	Н	stem/leaves	
Nelumbo lutea	87	L	seed	
Nuphar spp.	58	L	seed	
Nymphaea odorata	83	L	seed	
Panicum dichotomiflorum	187	Н	seed	Х*
Panicum spp.	138	Н	seed	
Phalaris arundinacea	433	L	seed	
Polygonum coccineum	300	М	seed	
Polygonum hydropiperoides	125	М	seed	
Polygonum lapathifolium	130	Н	seed	Х*
Polygonum pensylvanicum	169	Η	seed	Х*
Polygonum punctatum	10	М	seed	
Polygonum sagittatum	11	М	seed	
Polygonum spp.	422	L	seed	
Pontederia cordata	35	М	seed	
Potamogeton pectinatus	41	Н	stem/turions/leaves	
Potamogeton spp.	37	Н	seed/leaves	
Rumex spp.	47	М	seed	
Ruppia maritima	44	Н	stem/leaves	
Sagittaria spp.	45	М	seed	

Salicornia europaea	13	М	stem/leaves	
Salicornia spp.	36	М	stem/leaves	
Schoenoplectus fluviatilis	306	L	seed	
Schoenoplectus spp.	67	L	seed	
Scirpus americanus	81	М	seed	
Scirpus cyperinus	61	L	seed	
Scirpus robustus	110	М	seed	
Scirpus spp.	24	L	seed	
Scirpus validus	59	М	seed	
Sesbania spp.	139	L	seed	
Setaria spp.	122	Н	seed	X*
Sorghum vulgare	36	Н	seed	
Sparganium spp.	51	М	seed	
Spartina alterniflora	213	L	seed	
Spartina cynosuroides	140	L	seed	
Spartina patens	306	L	seed	
Spartina pectinata	11	L	seed	
Typha angustifolia	10	L	tuber	
<i>Typha</i> spp.	1106	L	tuber	
Zea mays	258	Н	seed	
Zizania aquatica	30	Н	seed	
Zizania miliacea	31	Н	seed	

*Plants with >50 records and High food value

**Some selected plants were included with <50 records and high food value.

¹We did not generate seed assessment page for this genus because of the wide variation in seed head size for this genus.

SM 8: Health and Safety Guidance for Handling Sick or Dead Wild Birds.

This document guides procedures for protecting personnel while handling wild birds. Also refer to the Wildlife Health office internal website at <u>https://sites.google.com/a/fws.gov/fws-wildlife-health/products for additional information</u>.

CONDITIONS	ACTIVITY	PPE Follow all PPE and standard work practices recommended for normal operations at your station. Consult regional health and safety expertise regarding zoonotic disease risks in your area.	WORK PRACTICE	
1.a. Zoonotic avian influenza is not known apparently			 Wash your hands often and thoroughly for at least 30 seconds (using soap/water or alcohol-based hand sanitizer) before eating, smoking, using cell phone and touching your face, hair, or exposed skin. If working indoors, work in well-ventilated areas. When working outdoors, work upwind of animals to decrease the risk of inhaling airborne particulate matter such as dust, feathers, or dander. Gloves, aprons, goggles, face shields, rubber boots, and coveralls that can be easily disinfected may also be worn to prevent skin and mucous membrane contact with biological materials, and prevent movement of biological materials to other sites. 	
1.b. Zoonotic avian influenza is not known or suspected in wild birds within North America or the Pacific Islands.	Handling sick or dead birds.	Follow all PPE and standard work practices recommended for normal operations at your station. Consult regional health and safety expertise regarding zoonotic disease risks in your area.	 Remove gloves and wash your hands often and thoroughly for at least 30 seconds (using soap/water or alcohol-based hand sanitizer) before eating, smoking, using cell phone and touching your face, hair, or exposed skin. If working indoors, work in well-ventilated areas. When working outdoors, work upwind of animals to decrease the risk of inhaling airborne particulate matter such as dust, feathers, or dander. Aprons, goggles, face shields, rubber boots, and coveralls that can be easily disinfected may also be worn to prevent skin and mucous membrane contact with biological materials, on prevent movement of biological materials to other sites. 	
2.a. Zoonotic avian influenza is confirmed ¹ or presumed to be present in wild birds within North America or the Pacific Islands.	Handling, investigation, or disposal of any healthy or sick, live or dead wild birds.	 Impermeable gloves (pvc or nitrile) or heavy duty rubber work gloves Goggles NIOSH- approved disposable N-95 particulate respirator². Workers must be fit-tested and medically cleared annually prior to wearing a respirator. Disposable Tyvek coveralls or raingear that can be disinfected Waders, hip- boots, rubber boots or boot 	 In addition to the work practices listed above: Suppress dust at the work site using water Minimize direct contact with birds and their secretions, feathers, and dander. Minimize contact with carcasses when bagging birds. Contact recipient laboratories prior to collection and shipping; follow their guidelines. Remove PPE in the following order: Carefully remove coveralls and boot covers and discard as contaminated material if disposable. Disinfect rubber boots. Remove gloves and immediately wash hands thoroughly with soap and water (or an alcohol-based hand gel when soap and clean water are not available). Remove eye protection and place in designated receptacle for subsequent cleaning and disinfection. Remove N-95 disposable respirator and discard. Immediately after all PPE has been removed, wash hands thoroughly a second time and wash face. 	

b. Zoonotic avian fluenza is confirmed ¹ r presumed to be resent in wild birds ithin North America or le Pacific Islands	Cleaning and disinfecting equipment known or suspected to be contaminated with zoonotic avian influenza	 Impermeable gloves (pvc or nitrile) or heavy duty rubber work gloves Goggles NIOSH- approved disposable N-95 particulate respirator². Workers must be fit-tested and medically cleared annually prior to wearing a respirator. Disposable Tyvek coveralls or raingear that can be disinfected Waders, hip- boots, rubber boots or boot covers 	 In addition to the work practices listed above: Clean surfaces of equipment and reusable PPE with detergent and water, then disinfect with a virucide (such as Virkon®) that kills avian influenza viruses. Follow the label instructions. www.epa.gov/pesticides/factsheets/avian.htm lists registered products. If a registered product is not available, use 3/4 cup of household bleach (5.25-6.00% sodium hypochlorite) per gallon of water for hard, non-porous surfaces. Avoid generating mists with water sprayers during equipment decontamination procedures. Do not touch any part of exposed person (especially the face) with gloved hands. Replace torn or damaged gloves immediately. Additional protection (such as aprons and face shields) may be desired during equipment decontamination to prevent contact with contaminated material. If there is known exposure to body fluids of the carcass (examples: knife cut, needle stick) contact your health care professional and provide a complete history of your activities. Carefully remove PPE in the order as described above in section 2a. 	
Key for colored conditions sections:	Green - Low risk conditions	Orange - Medium risk conditions	Red - High risk conditions	